# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on from Ypsilanti, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Claimant's Attorney for also appeared. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included for any peared by telephone.

## **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance and State Disability applications?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

| 1.         | Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA on .  |
|------------|---|
| 2.         | The Medical Review Team denied the application on   |
| 3.<br>4.   | Claimant filed a request for hearing , regarding the MA denial.  Claimant was approved for SDA on , based on participation with   |
| <b>5</b> . | An in person hearing was held on  |
| 6.         | On the State Hearing Review Team denied the application because the medical evidence of record indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform medium unskilled work. |

#### 2013-63005/ATM

- 7. Claimant is 5' 7" tall and weighs 210 pounds having gained 20 pounds in the last year.
- 8. Claimant is 47 years of age.
- 9. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as hepatitis C, hypertension, knee injury, COPD, back pain, anxiety and depression.
- Claimant has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, shortness of breath, headaches, insomnia, memory and concentration problems, crying spells, and suicide attempts.
- 11. Claimant completed high school and medical office training and nurse assistant training.
- 12. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills with difficulty.
- 13. Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked in warehouse worker. Claimant previously worked as a
- 14. Claimant lives with her daughter.
- 15. Claimant testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 16. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
  - a. Ibuprofen
  - b. Lisinipril
  - c. Norvasc
  - d. Cymbalta
  - e. Seroquel
  - f. Trazodone
  - g. Hctz
  - h. Albuterol
- 17. Updated records were gathered following the request of Claimant. Claimant waived timeliness standards.
- 18. The updated records were forwarded to the State Hearing Review Team and they again denied on because the medical evidence of record indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform medium, unskilled work.
- 19. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:
  - i. Sitting: 120 minutes

#### 2013-63005/ATM

- ii. Standing: 10 minutesiii. Walking: 5 blocksiv. Bend/stoop: difficulty
- v. Lifting: 5 lbs.
- vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations
- 20. In a Mental Status Evaluation dated Claimant was found to have a GAF score of 55 with diagnoses of adjustment disorder, with mixed features of anxiety and depression and generalized anxiety disorder.
- 21. In cardiac testing completed in ejection fraction of 65%.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medical Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

#### 2013-63005/ATM

Federal regulations require that the Department use the same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

# "Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical, or mental, impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is, or is not, disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe, which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical, or mental, ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering, simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers, and usual work situations: and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching,

carrying, or handling. Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 1.04, 3.02 and 12.04 were considered.

The person claiming a physical, or mental, disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician, or mental health professional, that an individual is disabled, or blind, is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a medical office clerk. Working as a medical office clerk, as described by Claimant at hearing, would be considered light work. The Claimant's impairments would not prevent her from doing past relevant work. Claimant's testimony regarding her physical limitations was not supported by substantial medical evidence. Claimant failed to present substantial medical evidence that she has an ongoing psychological impairment that is significantly limiting. A GAF score of 55 suggests moderate mental impairments.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is NOT medically disabled for the purposes of MA-P eligibility. Accordingly, the Department's decision is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

**Aaron McClintic** 

Administrative Law Judge for, Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Am mileti

Signed: <u>April 30, 2014</u> Mailed: <u>May 1, 2014</u> **NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

