STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-3892

Issue No.: 1001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: November 6, 2013 County: Wayne (82-17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 6, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant

Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On September 23, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a notice of noncompliance due to Claimant's alleged failure to participate in employment-related activities.
- 2. On September 23, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing him that his FIP benefits would be canceled November 1, 2013.
- 3. On October 1, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the closure of his FIP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

At the hearing, Claimant produced evidence that the notice of noncompliance was sent from Lansing on October 1, 2013, for an appointment scheduled for September 30, 2013. Thus, Claimant could not have complied with the Department's scheduled meeting on September 30, 2013.

The evidence shows that Claimant requested a hearing in a timely manner on October 1, 2013. This evidence shows that the Department should have changed Claimant's FIP benefits to active status until after the hearing. BAM 600 (July 2013).

In addition, the Department's notice for a meeting with Claimant concerning her noncompliance with work-related activities does not qualify as proper notice.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of

Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to continue
Claimant's benefits while awaiting a hearing. The Department's notice sent after the
triage meeting was scheduled to take place fails as well.

failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department
policy when it

.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
☐ AFFIRMED. ☑ REVERSED. ☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to .	and REVERSED IN PART with respect

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS

HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Return to November 1, 2013, to restore Claimant's FIP benefits retroactively back to that date and supplement for any missed benefits.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 26, 2014

Date Mailed: February 26, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2014-3892/MJB

MJB/pf

