STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 201426385

 		_
 TILE		
THE	N/I /\ I	<i>,</i> , , , ,

	Issue No.: Case No.:	3008			
	Hearing Date: County:	March 6, 2014 Wayne (19)			
	oddiny.	wayne (15)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez					
HEARING DECISION					
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 6, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and performent provided interpreter. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included PATH case manager.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:					
		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:					
Claimant ☐ applied for ☐ received: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ Senefits.	SDA □CDC				
2. On, the Department ☐ de ☐ closed Claimant's case ☒ reduced Claim		pplication			

	due to changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on and the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduced on the changes in federal law; benefits were further reduc
3.	On, and, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) its decision.
4.	On Company of the Com
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Adn	partment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges ninistrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual M), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Res US0 Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal ponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 C 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, 20.3101 to .3131.
is ending is in the control of the c	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] stablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The partment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP suant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
Sec 100 Inde	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social urity Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 8.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family ependence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL .105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is ninistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
Act, Fan	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the hily Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
and Chil and	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the d Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department

administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Additionally, when determining eligibility for FAP benefits, the household's total income must be evaluated. All earned and unearned income of each household member must be included unless specifically excluded. BEM, Item 500.

In the current case, the Department failed to present evidence of claimant's current FAP budget. The Department must provide evidence supporting claimant's current FAP calculation, as well as the income used to support that budget, at the hearing. Case action notices are not budgets, and do not suffice as evidence of correct FAP budget calculation. As such, the Department has failed to meet its burden of proof in showing that the current action was correct, and must recalculate the budget in question.

This does not meant that claimant's benefits will be changed; only that the Department has failed to prove to the Administrative Law Judge through substantial evidence that the actions taken in the current case were correct, or that claimant's FAP benefits are being correctly calculated.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department				
 □ acted in accordance with Department policy when it □ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it □ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it reduced claimant's FAP benefits. 				
DECISION AND ORDER				
Accordingly, the Department's decision is				
 ☐ AFFIRMED. ☐ REVERSED. ☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to to . 				
□ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:				

1. Recalculate claimant's FAP budget, retroactive to

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/14/2014

Date Mailed: 3/14/2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

RJC/hw

