STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-25975

Issue No.: 3001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 5, 2014
County: Wayne (82-57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 5, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny the addition of Claimant's son to his Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On August 14, 2013, Claimant applied to add his son to his FAP group.
- 2. On January 15, 2014, a hearing decision returned the son to his mother's FAP case because she had not been afforded the opportunity to submit evidence that her son should remain on her FAP case.
- Claimant produced evidence showing that Claimant's son listed Claimant's address on his student profile and he was listed as a resident on his father's apartment lease.

- On January 27, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a notice of case action informing him that his FAP benefits would be decreased due to the removal of his son from his FAP group.
- 5. On February 3, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the negative decision by the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

At the hearing, Claimant produced evidence from his son's school and produced Claimant's lease listing his son as living with him.

The question here is who the primary caretaker is. Both parents have an opportunity to present evidence as to where their child spends the majority of his time and who is the primary caretaker.

The primary caretaker is the person who is primarily responsible for the child's day-to-day care and supervision in the home where the child sleeps more than half of the days in a calendar month, on average, in a twelve-month period. BEM 211 (October 2013).

In this case, only the father has presented evidence to this Administrative Law Judge of his role as primary caretaker of his son. Both parents must have the opportunity to present their evidence as to who is the primary caretaker.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

\boxtimes	acted	in	accordar	nce wit	h D	epartment	policy	when	it	removed	the	child	from
	Claima	nt's	FAP gro	up.									
	did not act in accordance with Department policy when it												
	failed t	o s	atisfy its	burden	of s	showing th	at it ac	ted in a	acc	ordance v	vith I	Depart	ment
	policy v	vhe	n it										

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is	
☐ AFFIRMED.☐ REVERSED.☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to to .	and REVERSED IN PART with respect
	Michael J. Bennane Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 12, 2014

Date Mailed: March 12, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

