STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

TITE		TTFF	1 O E .
	· M 🕰		<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

3.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-25106 1008 February 27, 2014 Macomb(36)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G.	Fahie			
HEARING DE	CISION			
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 27, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, the Claimant's Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included PATHW and PATHCM.				
<u>ISSUI</u>				
Did the Department properly 🛛 close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?		,		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
 Claimant ☑ received: ☑ FIP benefits. 				
 On January 22, 2014, the Department ∑ participate in the PATH program. 	☑ closed Claimant's	case due to failure to		

On January 22, 2014, the Department sent Claimant its decision.

4. On January 30, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, the Claimant was an ongoing FIP recipient. The Department had referred the Claimant to the PATH program as a condition of receiving FIP benefits. Department Exhibit's 1-2. The Claimant was noncompliant with the PATH program January 21, 2014, because the Claimant's refused Department Exhibit 1. On January 22, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a Notice on Noncompliance, DHS 2444, for a triage meeting on January 28, 2014. Department Exhibit's 3-4. The Department conducted a triage meeting on January 28, 2014 where it was determined that the Claimant did not have good cause for noncompliance with the PATH program. BEM 229, 230A, 230B, 233A, and 233B.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department's determination that the Claimant did not have good cause for PATH noncompliance with the PATH program is reasonable. If the Claimant is offered full-time employment, she/he is required to take the job or be sanctioned. The Department has established that it acted properly when it closed the Claimant's FIP benefits for noncompliance with the PATH program. This is the Claimant's 1st sanction where her FIP benefits will be cancelled for 3 months, but the Claimant can reapply during the last month of her sanction..

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claimant's FIP for not participating with the PATH program for 1st noncompliance.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square **AFFIRMED**.

Carmon II. Sahie

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/7/14

Date Mailed: 3/7/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/tb

