STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-24827 Issue No(s).: 3000;6004

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 26, 2014

County: Wayne (19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 26, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her mother, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Religibility Specialist and Re

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of FAP and CDC benefits.
- 2. On September 3, 2013, Claimant submitted documentation to have her daughter added to Claimant's existing CDC case.
- 3. On January 21, 2014, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions and requesting that the Department process her CDC applications.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

FAP

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to Claimant's FAP benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that she understands and is satisfied with the actions taken by the Department and that she no longer had any issues to address with respect to her FAP benefits. Claimant further confirmed that she did not wish to proceed with the hearing concerning her FAP benefits. The Request for Hearing was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the hearing request. Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing regarding the FAP is hereby **DISMISSED**.

CDC

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Additionally, when the Department receives an application for assistance, it is to be registered and processed in accordance with Department policies. The standard of promptness (SOP) begins the date the department receives an application/filing form, with minimum required information. BAM 115 (July 2013), p. 15. The Department is to certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days and upon certification of eligibility results, the Department is to notify clients in writing of positive and negative actions by generating the appropriate notice of case action. After processing an initial application, the Department will notify clients of the approval or denial. BAM 115, pp. 15, 23-24; BAM 220 (July 2013), p. 1.

In this case, Claimant requested a hearing regarding CDC applications that she stated she submitted to the Department on various dates. At the hearing, Claimant stated that after mailing a CDC application to the Department on May 28, 2013, she did not receive any communication from the Department as to whether or not her application was approved or denied. Claimant testified that she submitted another CDC application in person at the Department local office on September 3, 2013.

The Department testified that it had no record of any CDC applications submitted by Claimant on May 28, 2013. There was no application registered under Claimant's name in Bridges for that date, so there was no application to be processed. Claimant was unable to provide any additional identifying or supporting information to establish that she did in fact submit a CDC application on May 28, 2013.

The Department testified that a review of the sign in logs for September 3, 2013, revealed that Claimant did appear at her local office and submit documents concerning her CDC case. Consequently, the Department acknowledged that the CDC application adding Claimant's daughter to her CDC case should have been processed with an effective date of September 3, 2013.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds the Department has failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's September 3, 2013, CDC application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, Claimant's hearing request with respect to FAP is DISMISSED and the Department's CDC decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Register and process Claimant's September 3, 2013, CDC application to include Claimant's daughter;
- 2. Issue supplements to Claimant and her CDC provider for any CDC benefits that they were entitled to receive but did not from September 3, 2013, ongoing; and
- 3. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing.

Lamab Raydonn Zainab Baydoun

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 27, 2014

Date Mailed: March 3, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

