## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

ı	N	Ι.	П	Ц	Λ	ΙΔ	T	ΓΕ	D	0	E	•
	ľ			п	IV	172	١.		$\mathbf{r}$	u	_	_

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-24816 1011; 3011 February 26, 2014 Oakland(3)								
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie										
HEARING DECISION										
Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, February 26, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and her Authorized Representative, from Oakland County Welfare Rights. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included from the										
<u>ISSUE</u>										
Did the Department properly ⊠ close Claimant's case for:										
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?</li><li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?</li></ul>	= -	,								
FINDINGS OF FACT										
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial										

evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant  $\boxtimes$  received:  $\boxtimes$  FIP and  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  benefits. 1.
- The Department received a notice from the Oakland County Prosecutor's Office 2. that the Claimant failed to cooperate and was in noncompliance with Child Support.

- 3. On January 15, 2014, the Department ⊠ closed Claimant's case due to failure to cooperate with the to establish
- 4. On January 15, 2014, the Department sent Claimant its decision.
- 5. On January 27, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Department pended the Claimant's FIP and FAP case to close because she failed to comply with

Department Exhibit's 25-30. On January 15, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that her FIP and FAP case was pended to close on February 1, 2014 for failure to cooperate with in establishing or securing child support. Department Exhibit 8-15.

The Department has met its burden that the Claimant is not in compliance with Child Support, which resulted in her FIP and FAP case closing. BAM 225. BEM 225.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it when it closed the Claimant's FIP and FAP case due to non-compliance with Child Support.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Cormon II. Fahrie

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 3/7/14

Date Mailed: 3/7/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CGF/tb

