STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-24065 Issue No(s).: 3002; 6019

Case No.: Hearing Date:

February 25, 2014

County: Oakland -33

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 25, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly when it denied Claimant's FIP and CDC application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On November 15, 2013, the Department Claimant a Verification Checklist with a November 25, 2013 due date for FAP and CDC (Exhibit 10).
- Claimant timely received the Verification Checklist.
- Claimant turned in some document by the due date, but my November 25, 2013, Claimant had no turned in three requested bank statements and the requested CDC provider assignment.
- 4. Claimant and the worker spoke by phone on or around December 6, 2013, and the worker told Claimant that if she turned everything in by December 15, 2013, the Department could process the application.
- 5. On December 9, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case action denying her CDC application effective November 3, 2013 and her FAP application effective November 15, 2013.

6. On January 23, 2014, the Department received Claimant's hearing request regarding the FAP and CDC denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Additionally, the Department acted in accordance with policy when it denied Claimant's applications because the deadline for response to the Verification Checklist had passed, and Claimant had not complied with the verification checklist. (See BAM 130.)

Claimant testified at the hearing that she believed that she had until December 15, 2013 to turn in the missing documents. This allegation is not material because Claimant testified that she did not turn in the missing back statements until 'sometime in January' according to her. The worker had told Claimant that if she turned in the documents by December 15, 2013, the Department could process the application instead of Claimant reapplying, and the worker testified that she still could have process the application if she received the documents timely after the denial without Claimant needing to reapply. Further, Claimant did not make reasonable efforts to comply with the Verification Checklist. She testified that she didn't turn in the CDC provider assignment because she was too busy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP and CDC application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED.**

Michael S. Newell

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 7, 2014

Date Mailed: March 7, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MSN/las

cc: