STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

3.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-22748 2001 March 4, 2014 St. Joseph
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E	•	Ст. 0000рп
HEARING DECISION		
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 4, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor,		
ISSUE		
Did the Department properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)? ☐ State SSI Payments (SSP)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:		
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for: ⊠ MA benefits.		
2. On September 30, 2013, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application due to her noncooperation with the Office of Child Support (OCS).		

On September 30, 2013, the Department sent Claimant its decision.

4. On October 9, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

In this case, the Administrative Law Judge made several attempts using differing telephone numbers to contact the Support Specialist from the OCS. The Administrative Law Judge was unable to reach anyone. The Claimant testified that she responded to each letter sent to her by the OCS. She stated that the Support Specialist was insisting that she provide the Social Security number of the noncustodial parent. The Claimant testified that her was the result of a when she was old. The Claimant asserted that she did not know the Social Security number of the noncustodial parent.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 255 (2013) pp. 1, 2, provides that cooperation with the OCS is a condition of eligibility for FIP. Failure to cooperate with the OCS without good cause results in disqualification for FIP. BEM 255, pp. 5-8, provides that it is the role of the Support Specialist (SS) to determine cooperation and non-cooperation and to attend pre-hearings and administrative hearings. Cooperation includes the following:

- Contacting the support specialist when requested.
- Providing all known information about the absent parent.
- Appearing at the office of the prosecuting attorney when requested.
- Taking any actions needed to establish paternity and obtain child support (including but not limited to testifying at hearings or obtaining genetic tests).

There was testimony in this case to indicate that the Claimant complied with every request for contact and information from the OCS, though the Claimant could not provide the OCS with the Social Security number of the noncustodial parent. The Department fails to meet its burden in this case to establish that the Claimant failed to disclose all known information. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the evidence does not establish that the Department was acting in accordance with its policy when taking action to deny the Claimant's application for MA.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department \boxtimes failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it took action to deny the Claimant's application for MA.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes **REVERSED**.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 - 1. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for MA back to her original application date and without a noncooperation sanction; and
 - 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due.

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susanne E Hanis

Date Signed: 3/11/14

Date Mailed: 3/11/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

 Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;

- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the Claimant;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

cc: