STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

п	1 TI		BA A	T-	ΓFR		┏.
П	u II	HE	IVI	۱ı	ırk	U	-

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2014-22320 2001 February 27, 2014 Muskegon					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E.	Harris						
HEARING DECISION							
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to notice, a telephone hearing was held on Februarticipants on behalf of Claimant included Department of Human Services (Department) (FIM),	0.9 and 400.37; 7 CF 99.33; and 45 CFF ruary 27, 2014, from Particip	R 273.15 to 273.18; R 205.10. After due Lansing, Michigan. ants on behalf of the					
<u>ISSUE</u>							
Did the Department properly ⊠ close Claimant's case for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☑ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ Child Developme☐ Direct Support Se	State Disability Assistance (SDA)? Child Development and Care (CDC)? Direct Support Services (DSS)? State SSI Payments (SSP)?					
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
1. The Claimant ⊠ received: ⊠ MA bene	fits.						
2. In June 2013, the Claimant's turned	years of age.						
In July 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that the Claimant was at work ready with limitations.							

- 4. Per the testimony of the Departments FIM, the Department did then use that MRT determination as an *ex parte* review of the Claimant's eligibility for MA. The Claimant continued to have MA and the Claimant's condition worsened.
- 5. On December 16, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS-1010, Redetermination form.
- 6. On February 1, 2014, the Department ⊠ closed Claimant's case because she did not meet any of the eligibility categories for MA.
- 7. On January 7, 2014, the Department sent Claimant its decision.
- 8. On January 14, 2014, the Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. ☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE
and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the
Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q;
and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL
104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department
administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and
children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-
.119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and
400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099
and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program
pursuant to MCL 400.10.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 105 (2014) p. 1, provides that MA is comprised of several eligibility categories. Claimants may be eligible for MA if the Claimant is blind, disabled, over 65 or under 21, pregnant or a caretaker of minor children. BEM 105 p. 5, provides that an *ex parte* review is required before Medicaid closures when there is an actual or anticipated change, unless the change would result in closure due to ineligibility for all Medicaid. When possible, an *ex parte* review should begin at least 90 days before the anticipated change is expected to result in case closure. The review includes consideration of all MA categories.

In this case, the Department's FIM conceded on the record that the MRT determination from July 2, 2013 did not constitute a proper *ex parte* review of the Claimant's eligibility for MA. The Department's FIM testified that an *ex parte* review was attempted in 2013; however, the Bridges computer system would not permit an *ex parte* review to be conducted because the Department considered the MRT determination from July 2013 as an *ex parte* review. The Department's FIM conceded on the record that the Department was not acting in accordance with its policy in this case.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department \boxtimes did not act in accordance with Department policy when it took action to close the Claimant's MA case.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes **REVERSED**.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 - 1. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for MA back to February 1, 2014, and
 - 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due, and
 - 3. If it is necessary, issue an expedited Bridges help desk ticket to effectuate this order promptly.

Susanne E Harris

Susanne E. Harris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/28/14

Date Mailed: 3/3/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;

- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

