STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-20039

Issue No(s).:

Case No.:
Hearing Date: March 4, 2014

5008

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 4, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Manager and Eligibility Specialist . Observing but not participating was Department Analyst

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's State Emergency Relief (SER) eligibility?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On December 9, 2013, Claimant applied for SER for help in replacing a furnace in her home.
- 2. On December 13, 2013, the Department denied her application, finding "A group member is not or is no longer living with you. Therefore, his/her needs are not considered when determining your eligibility." See Exhibit 1 Page 15.
- 3. The Department's actual reason for denying the application was because it believed Claimant was the beneficiary of a Trust agreement with more than in assets.
- 4. On December 19, 2013, the Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.

Per ERM 205, "The SER group must use countable cash assets to assist in resolving their emergency. The protected cash asset limit is \$50. **Exclude the first \$50 of an SER group's cash assets.** The amount in excess of the protected cash limit is deducted from resolving the cost of the emergency and is called the asset copayment." (Emphasis in original.) "SER groups with only one member have a \$1750 non-cash asset limit. SER groups with two or more members have a \$3000 non-cash asset limit."

The Claimant testified that she previously had a home on that was held in her trust. That home was condemned by the City of the taxes were delinquent, and it was conveyed out of the trust on May 12, 2012 for no consideration. The Department had attributed an asset to Claimant of , based upon a taxable value on the home assessed in 2009. The Claimant's testimony regarding the sale of the home was credible, and the undersigned is persuaded that the value of the home should not have been considered as an asset in determining Claimant's eligibility for SER.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it determined that Claimant was not eligible for SER.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Reinstate Claimant's SER application and redetermine her SER benefit eligibility.

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 5, 2014

Date Mailed: March 5, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

CC:

