# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-19043

Issue No.: 2007

Case No.:

Hearing Date: March 5, 2014 County: Wayne (82-35)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Michael J. Bennane

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 5, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included

**ISSUE** 

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA) application?

#### **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. In August 2013, Claimant applied for MA and on August 12, 2013, she authorized to be her AR.
- 2. On December 12, 2013, Claimant's AR requested a hearing to protest the Department's failure to process Claimant's August MA application.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. At the hearing, the Department presented a hearing summary that stated Claimant did not authorize to be her AR and did not want them listed as her AR. The Department's testimony as to Claimant's statements concerning and any desire on her part to withdraw the authority given , were related to a second MA application dated May 13, 2013 presented a copy of its authorization to represent signed by Claimant on August 12, 2013. According to this authorization relates to an application made in August 2013, not the May 13, 2013, application addressed by the Department. The Department presented no evidence concerning the August 2013 MA application, and there is no indication that the Department ever communicated with Claimant's AR concerning the August 2013 MA application. Since the AR acts on behalf of Claimant, failure to supply the AR with the necessary information and requests for information is tantamount to failing to do the same for Claimant. BAM 110 (July 2013). The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it \times \text{did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to provide Claimant's AR the necessary documentation/information. failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it **DECISION AND ORDER** Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.  $\bowtie$  REVERSED.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social

and REVERSED IN PART with respect

AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to

to

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Reregister and process the August 2013 MA application and list L & S Associates as Claimant's Authorized Representative.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 17, 2014

Date Mailed: March 17, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/pf

cc: