### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No.:20Issue No.:30Case No.:1Hearing Date:FCounty:W

2014-16393 3002

February 18, 2014 Wayne (82-76)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane

## **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 18, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### **ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On or about October 3, 2013, Claimant applied for FIP.
- 2. On October 30, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a PATH appointment notice for November 12, 2013.
- 3. Claimant failed to attend PATH and claimed a medical exemption.
- 4. Claimant provided a medical examination report (DHS-49) and a medical needs -PATH report. These reports are dated November 11, 2013, and November 12, 2013, respectively.
- 5. On November 1, 2013, the Department denied Claimant's FIP application.

6. On January 13, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing to protest the Department's denial of her FIP application.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

At the hearing and in the Department's hearing summary, recognition is given to the conflicting medical opinions as to Claimant's ability to attend PATH.

Contrary to Department policy, the Department failed to afford Claimant an opportunity to resolve the discrepancy in the medical documentation recognized by both Claimant and the Department. BAM 130 (July 2013).

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it

k did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's FIP case.

a failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is

AFFIRMED.

 $\boxtimes$  REVERSED.

to

AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN PART with respect

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

#### 2014-16393/MJB

1. Reregister and process Claimant's October 2013 FIP application, afford the Claimant the opportunity to resolve the discrepancies appearing in the medical documentation provided by Claimant, and supplement for any missed benefits if appropriate.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 26, 2014

Date Mailed: February 26, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/pf

# 2014-16393/MJB

CC:	