STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-60444 Issue No.: 2009, 4009

Case No.: Hearing Date:

November 20, 2013

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on November 20, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally app eared and provided t estimony. Participant s on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (Assistance Payments Supervisor).

During the hearing, Claimant waived the time period for the issuance of this decision, in order to allow for the submission of additional medical records. The evidence was received, reviewed, and forwarded to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) for consideration. On January 10, 2014, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) received the SHRT determination which found Claimant was not disabled. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's appl ication for Medical Assistance (MA-P), Retro MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On January 29, 2013, Claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On or about June 19, 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application.
- 3. On July 9, 2013, the Department caseworker sent Claimant notice that his application was denied.

- 4. On July 15, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the Department's action.
- On September 11, 2013, the State Hearing Rev iew Team (SHRT), following a review of additional records, again den ied Cla imant's application.
- 6. A telephone hearing was held on November 20, 2013. During the hearing, the Administrative Law Judge held the record open to allow for Cla imant's additional records to be submit ted. Claim ant consented and agreed to waive the time periods.
- 7. The additional recor ds were received and forwarded to the SHRT on November 21, 2013.
- 8. On January 8, 2014, the SHRT again denied Claimant's application.
- 9. Claimant has alleged the followin g dis abling impairments: leukemia, stomach cancer and pneumonia.
- 10. At the time of the hearing, Claim ant was 51 (fifty-one) years old with a birth date of (one-hundred and thirty-five) pounds (lbs).
- 11. Claimant has high school educ ation with an employ ment history as a machine operator and as a painter.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Servic es (DHS or Department) adm inisters the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435. 540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the MA program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

The person claiming a physica I or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence e from qual lified medical sources.

Claimant's impairment must re sult from anatomical, physiol ogical, or ps ychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only the claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Proof must be in the form of medical evidence showing that the claimant has im pairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. In formation must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c). A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e). Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alo ne establish disability. Similarly, conclusory statements by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind, absent supporting medical evidence, is insufficient to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927. There must be medical signs and laborate ory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
 - (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
 - (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
 - (4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

The law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's symptoms can be managed to the point where substantial gainful activity can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered.

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

In order to determine whether or not an individual is disabled, federal regulations require a five-step sequential evaluation process be utilized. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(1). The five-step analysis requires the trier of fact to cons ider an individual's current work activit y; the severity of the impairment(s) both in duration and whether it meets or equals a listed impairment in Appendix 1; residual functional capacity to det ermine whether an individual can perform past relev ant work; and residual functional capacity along with vocational factors (e.g. age, education, and work experienc e) to determine if an individual can adjust to other work. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(4); 20 CFR 416.945. If there is a finding that an indiv idual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the re view, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

At step one, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whethe r the claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activi ty (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that involves doing signific physical or mental activities (20 CFR 40 4.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gainful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realize d (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416. 972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he or she has demonstrated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he o r she is not disabled regardless of how severe his or her physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his or her age, education, and work exper ience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

At step two, the Admi nistrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CFR 404.1520(c) and 416.920(c)). An impair ment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the r egulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impair ment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight ab normalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work (20 CFR 404.1521 and 416.921; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p). If the claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he or she is not disabled.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C). First, an individual's pertinent symptoms, signs and

laboratory findings are evaluated to determine whether a medically determinable mental impairment exists. 20 CFR 416.920a(b)(1). When a medically determinable mental impairment is established, the symptoms, signs and laboratory findings that substantiate the impairment are documented to include the individual's s ignificant history, laboratory findings, and functional limitations. 20 CFR 416.920a(e)(2). Functional limit ations are assessed based upon the extent to whic he impairment(s) interferes with an individual's ability to function indep endently, appropriately, effectively and on a sustained basis. 20 CFR 416.920(a)(2). Chronic mental disorders, structured settings, medication and other treatment, and the effect on the overall degree of functionality are considered. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(1). In addition, four broad functional areas (activities of daily living; social functioning; concentration, persistence or pace; and episodes of decompensation) are considered when determining and individual's degree of functional limitation. 20 CFR 416.920a(c)(4).

The second step allows for dismissal of a disability claim obviously lacking in medical merit. *Higgs v Bowen*, 880 F2d 860, 862 (CA 6, 1988). An impairment qualifies as non-severe only if, regardless of a claimant's age, education, or work experience, the impairment would not affect the claimant's ability to work. *Salmi v Sec of Health and Human Services*, 774 F2d 685, 692 (CA 6, 1985).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions:
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

At step three, the Administrative Law Judg e must determine whet her the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d),

404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirement (20 CF R 404.1509 and 416. 909), the claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering st ep four of the sequential evaluation process, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416. 920(e)). An in dividual's residual functional capacity is his/he rability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the Administrative La w Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the require ments of his or her past relevant work (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 416.920(f). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the claimant act ually per formed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 (fifteen) years or 15 (fifteen) years prior to the date that disab ility must be est ablished. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the claimant to lear n to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his or her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the claimant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation process (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his or her residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the claimant is able to do other work, he or she is not disabled. If the claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he or she is disabled.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967. The terms are defined as follows:

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or

standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weig hing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy wor k. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weig hing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy wor k, we determine that he or she c an also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The analysis begins at Step 1. Claimant is not eng aged in substantial gainful activity and has not worked since 1995. Therefore, Claim ant is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1 and the analysis proceeds to Step 2.

At Step 2, Claimant's symptoms are evaluated to see if there is an underlying medically determinable physic al or mental impairment(s) that could reasonably be expected to produce Claimant's pain or other symptoms. This must be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laborator y diagnostic techniques. Once an underlying physical or mental impairment(s) has been shown, the Administrative Law Judge must evaluate the intensity, persistence, and limiting effects of Claimant's symptoms to determine the extent to which they limit Claimant's ability to do basic work activities. For this purpose, whenever statements about the intensity, persistence, or functionally limiting effects of pain or other symptoms are not substantiated by objective medical evidence, a finding on the credibility of the statements based on a consi deration of the entire case record must be made.

In the present case, Claimant alleges dis ability due to leukemia, stomach cancer and pneumonia. The medical evidence in this re—cord indicates that in 2009 Claimant was diagnosed with gastric MALT—lymphoma and had s—uccessful r adiation treatments. In 2011, Claimant was diagnosed with Hairy Cell Leuk emia and after he was hospitalized with respir atory failure and had severe pneumonia. Records—show that in 2012, Claimant's hairy cell leuk—emia was hem—atologically st able. Claimant was able to maintain his weight and his bloodwork and x-rays were within normal limits. According to the records, Claimant has an increased susceptibility to pneumonia.

On August 29, 2013, Cla imant had a follow-up visit wit h his oncologist which indicated he had "increasing lymphocytosis" with regard to his hairy cell leukemia. T here was no significant splenom egaly, but Claimant had dev eloping anemia and worsening thrombocytopenia. Claimant's oncologist indicated Claimant's progressive weakness may require chemotherapy. There were no recent records to evidence that Claimant actually underwent chemotherapy treatment for this.

The objective medical evidence shows that Claimant has an impairment that is "severe" for purposes of Step 2. The records show t hat Claimant's impairment significantly limits his ability to perform basic work—activities. The medical records show that Claimant's

leukemia and resulting decrease in his lymphocytes have developed into anemia which results in weakness and fatigue. Claimant has present ed medical evidence that demonstrates he has some physical and ment all imitations on his ability to perform basic work activities. The medical evidence has established that Claim and has an impairment, or combination of impairments, that has more than a *de minimus* effect on his basic work activities. Further, the impairments have lasted continuously for 12 (twelve) months; therefore, Claimant is not disqualified from receiving MA-P benefits at Step 2.

In the third step of the seque ntial an alysis of a disability claim, the trier of fact must determine if Claimant's impairment, or combination of impairments, is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. The evidence conf irms treatment/diagnoses of leukemia and stomach cancer.

The following listings were considered in light of the objecti ve evidence: 13.0 6 (Leukemia), which provides as follows:

13.06 Leukemia

A. Acute leukemia (including T-cell lym phoblastic lym phoma). Consider under a disability until at least 24 mont hs from the date of diagnosis or relapse, or at least 12 months from the date of bone m arrow or stem cell transplantation, whichev er is later. Thereafter, evaluate any resi dual impairment(s) under the cr iteria for the affected body system.

OR

- B. Chronic myelogenous leukemia, as described in 1 or 2:
- 1. Accelerated or blast phase. Consider under a disability until at least 24 months from the date of diagnosis or relaps e, or at least 12 months from the date of bone marrow or stem cell transplantation, whichever is later. Thereafter, evaluate any residua impairment(s) under the criteria for the affected body system.
- 2. Chronic phase, as described in a or b:
- a. Consider under a disability until at least 12 months from the date of bone marrow or stem cell t ransplantation. Thereafter, ev aluate any r esidual impairment(s) under the criteria for the affected body system.
- b. Progressive disease following initial antineoplastic therapy.

Based on the above objective medical ev idence, Claimant's leuk emia which caus es weakness, fatigue and increas ed episodes of pneumonia do m eet or medically equal

the criteria of a listing because he was wit hin the 24 months of the diagnosis. In addition, Claimant meets the duration requirement. Because Claimant does hav empairment that meets or medically equals the criteria of 13.06, he meets the Step 3 requirement.

Before Step 4, the Administrative La w J udge must determine Claimant's residual functional capacity to perform the require ments of his past relevant work. Here, Claimant has a work history as a mach ine operator and then as a painter. The undersigned finds that Claimant's medically determinable impairments could reasonably be expected to cause the allege d symptoms. Claimant testified that he was unable to maintain his activity levels due to fatigue and weakness resulting from cancer. Claimant has difficulty walking due to fatigue and cannot maintain activity levels required for regular employment. Claimant's statements concernin a the intensity, persistence and limiting effects of these symptoms are credible to the extent they are consistent with the objective medical records. The August 2013 oncology records also confirm a worsening of his condition, which may require chem otherapy. Claimant woul d not be able to operate a machine nor could he perform the duties associated wit h painting with his impairments. Based on all the evidence, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant cannot perform the requirements of his past employment on a sustained basis due to the limitations from his impairments.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, jobs are c lassified as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. 2 0 CFR 416.967. Sedentary work involves lifting of no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties . Id. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing up to 10 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(b). Even though weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it invo Ives sit ting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls. *Id.* To be considered capable of performing a full or wide range of light work, an individual must have the ability to do substantially all of these activities . *Id.* An individual capable of light work is also capable of sedentary work, unless there are additionally limiting factors such as loss of fin dexterity or inability to sit for long periods of time. Id. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(c). An individual capable of performing medium work is also capable of light and sedentary work. Id. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a tim e with frequent lifting or carrying of object s weighing up to 50 pounds. 20 CFR 416.967(d). A n individual capable of heavy work is also c apable of medium, light, and sedentary work. *Id.* Finally, very heavy work involves lifting objects weighing more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying objects weighing 50 pounds or more. 20 CFR 416.967(e). An individual capable of very heavy work is able to perform work under all categories. *Id*.

Limitations or restrictions which affect the ability to meet the demands of jobs other than strength demands (exertional requirements, i.e. sitting, standing, walk ing, lifting, carrying, pushing, or pulling) are consider ed nonexertional. 20 CFR 416.969a(a). In considering whether an individual can perform past relevant work, a comparis on of the individual's residual functional c apacity with the demands of past relevant work. an individual can no longer do past relevant work the same residual functional capacity assessment along with an individual's a ge, education, and work experience is considered to determine whether an individual can adjust to other work which exists in the national economy. *Id.* Examples of non-exertional limitations or restrictions include difficulty function due to nervousness, anxiousness, or depression; difficulty maintaining attention or concentration; difficulty understanding or remembering detailed instructions; difficulty in seeing or hearing; difficulty tole rating some physical f eature(s) of certain work settings (i.e. can't tolerate dust or fumes); or difficulty performing the manipulative as reaching, handling, stooping, climbing. or postural functions of some work such crawling, or crouching. 20 CFR 416.969a(c)(1)(i) – (vi). If the impairment(s) and related symptoms, such as pain, only affect the ability to perform the non-e xertional aspects of work-related activities, the rules in Appendi x 2 do n ot direct factual conclusions o f disabled or not disabled. 20 CFR 416. 969a(c)(2). The determination of whether disability e xists is b ased upon the princi ples in the appropriate sections of the regulations, giving consideration to the rules fo r specific case situat ions in Appendix 2. ld.

The fourth step in analyzing a disability claim requires an ass essment of Claimant's residual functional capacity (RFC) and past relevant employment. 20 CF R 416.920(a)(4)(iv). An individual is not disabled if he/she can perform past relevant work. *Id.*; 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3). Past relevant work is work that has been performed within the past 15 years that was a substantial gainful activity and that lasted long enough for the individual to lear n the position. 20 CF R 416.960(b)(1). Vocational fact ors of age, education, and work experience, and whether the past relevant employment exists in significant numbers in the national economy is not considered. 20 CFR 416.960(b)(3).

Here, Claimant has past relevant work as a painter, which is considered m edium-level work. This is considered semi-skilled work. After review of the entire record to include Claimant's credible testimony, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant is not able to maintain the physica. I demands necessary to perform limited medium work as defined by 20 CFR 416.967(a).

This Administrative Law Judge finds sufficient evidence in this record that demonstrates Claimant is unable to perform his past relevant work. Because the record evidence shows that Claimant is unable to do any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and final step.

At Step 5, this Administrative Law Judge mu st determine whether or not Claimant has the residual functional capacit y to do any other work in the national economy considering his or her residual functi onal capac ity, age, education, and work experience. At this poin t, the burden of proof shifts to the Department. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record shows that Claimant lacks residual functional capacity. Consequently, Claimant is disabled at

Step 5 based upon the fact that objective medical evidence shows he cannot perform medium work even with his impairments.

Claimant has satisfied the burden of proof to show by competent, material and substantial evidence that he has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920(c). There is objective medical evidence to substantiate Claimant's assertion that his alleged impairments are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disability. Thus, Claimant is disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance disability (MA-P) program.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

With regard to Claimant's request for disabi lity und er the State Disab ility Assistance (SDA) program, it should be noted that the Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) contains policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the SDA program. In order to receive SDA, "a person must be disabled, caring for a disabled person or age 65 or older." BEM, Item 261, p. 1 (July, 2013).

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he or she: (1) receives other specified disability-related be nefits or services ¹; or (2) resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility; or (3) is c ertified as unable to work due to mental or physical disability for at least 90 days from the on set of the disability; or (4) is dia gnosed as having Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS). BEM 261 pp 1-2 (July, 2013).

Because Claimant meet s the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record shows that Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 (ninety) days, Claimant is also disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

The Department has not establis hed by the nec essary competent, material and substantial evidence on the rec ord that it acted in comp liance with Depar tment policy when it determined that Claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance, Retro Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance.

Services.

-

¹Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) due to disability/blindness, Supplemental Security Income (SSI) due to d isability/blindness, Medica id as b lind/disabled ba sed on a disability examiner or MRT determination or hearing d ecision, or Michigan Rehabilitati on

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that Claimant is DISAB LED for purposes of MA-P and SDA as of January, 2013.

Accordingly, the Departm ent's decision is hereby **REVERSED** and the Department is ORDERED to do the following:

- 1. Initiate a review of Claimant's MA and SDA cases to determine Claimant's non-medical eligibility.
- 2. The Department shall inform Claimant of the determination in writing. A review of this case shall be set for March 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

C. Adam Purnell
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 4, 2014

Date Mailed: March 4, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing o r reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/las

