STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2013-65781 2009; 4009

February 27, 2014 Roscommon

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on February 27, 2014, at the Roscommon County Department of Human Services (Department) office. Claimant, accompanied by his caseworker, appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly denied Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA), Retro-MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 13, 2013, Claimant filed an application for MA/Retro-MA and SDA benefits alleging disability.
- On August 2, 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA/Retro-MA and SDA for lack of duration. (Depart Ex. A, pp 28-29).
- 3. On August 9, 2013, the Department sent Claimant notice that his application for MA/Retro-MA and SDA had been denied.
- 4. On August 22, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- 5. On October 10, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled and retained the capacity to perform simple and repetitive tasks. (Depart Ex. B, pp 1-2).
- 6. Claimant had applied for Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
- 7. Claimant is a 25 year old man whose birthday is
- 8. Claimant does not have an alcohol or drug history. Claimant smokes a pack of cigarettes a week.
- 9. Claimant has never had a driver's license and is afraid to drive because of the voices he hears.
- 10. Claimant has a high school education through special education.
- 11. Claimant is not currently working and does not have a history of substantial gainful activity
- 12. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of hearing voices and insomnia.
- 13. Claimant's impairments have lasted, or are expected to last, continuously for a period of twelve months or longer.
- 14. Claimant's complaints and allegations concerning his impairments and limitations, when considered in light of all objective medical evidence, as well as the record as a whole, reflect an individual who is so impaired as to be incapable of engaging in any substantial gainful activity on a regular and continuing basis.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disability or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in Title XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Michigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

. . . the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order:

... We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further. 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.

- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application Claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

... You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as ultrasounds, X-rays);

(4) Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Claimant is not ineligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de minimus* standard. Ruling any ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analysis continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ability of the applicant to return to past relevant work. This step examines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CFR 416.920(f). In this case, Claimant has a history of less than gainful employment. As such, there is no past work for Claimant to perform, nor are there past work skills to transfer to other work occupations. Accordingly, Step 5 of the sequential analysis is required.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applicant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). See *Felton v DSS* 161 Mich. App 690, 696 (1987). Once Claimant reaches Step 5 in the sequential review process, Claimant has already established a *prima facie* case of disability. *Richardson v Secretary of Health and Human Services,* 735 F2d 962 (6th Cir, 1984). At that point, the burden of proof is on the state to prove by substantial evidence that Claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity.

The medical information indicates that Claimant suffers from schizophrenia and insomnia.

Claimant credibly testified that he hears voices all day every day and tries his best not to hurt anyone.

In November, 2012, Claimant was referred to

and the

by

where he was volunteering, and his landlord. landlord both reported they try to keep an eye on Claimant and to help him as much as They reported Claimant argues a lot with himself. they can. During the initial assessment, Claimant says he hears voices. Claimant will ask them their names and the voices tell him a lot of different names. Claimant stated that he has tried to explain this to people. Claimant says that people end up describing it as good Chris and bad Chris. Claimant also describes some thoughts or voices that tell him to walk up on a person in the store and break their neck and watch them fall to the floor. He has also had thoughts when he is cutting wood with others to swing the axe just right so it would hit the other person in the head. Claimant denies that he would ever want to harm anyone but says he just has these disturbing thoughts. Claimant stated that he feels removed from his body sometimes. He reports problems sleeping, problems doing chores and cooking around his cabin. He might get 2 to 3 hours of sleep a night. He reports feeling depressed, hopeless, helpless and worthless. He will isolate and not ask others for help. The landlord went to his house a week ago and the furnace was not on because something was broken and Claimant had not called to tell him because he has learned to survive and did not feel like he needed heat. Claimant stated he has lived on the streets in a box before. Claimant also notes he has problems concentrating and difficulty comprehending things. He cannot fill out needed paperwork very well at all. He feels uncomfortable in a lot of situations. Claimant's parents died when he was in eighth grade and he was placed in foster care in the ninth grade. He described going to 13 different schools. Claimant's mood was depressed and anxious. He had a blunted affect. His appearance and hygiene were fair at best. His eye contact was fair to poor. He displayed poor memory. He had great difficulty recalling specific events and time frames. His answers and thoughts were fragmented. His judgment was poor. He was assessed with a potential for violence. The psychiatrist noted Claimant has problems in all facets of life. He is unemployed, he has no medical insurance, he has difficulty interacting and talking with others. He has problems cleaning, cooking and tending to personal care needs. He does not reach out and ask for assistance, even when necessary. He describes a myriad of symptoms that are indicative of schizophrenia, such as: lack of speech, disruption in thought process, lack of drive and motivation to pursue meaningful goals, hallucinations, flat affect. Claimant has periods that he stares and seems to be unaware of his surroundings. He neglects his personal care, he has an apparent lack of emotion, angry outbursts, trouble functioning at work, loses concentration easily, distracted and social isolation. Diagnosis: Axis I: Schizophrenia; Axis V: GAF=45. The intake social workers recommended that Claimant receive a psychiatric evaluation for further diagnostic clarification and to assess for the appropriateness of treatment with psychotropic medications.

According to the DSM-IV, 4th Ed., a GAF of 45 indicates serious symptoms (e.g., suicidal ideation, severe obsessional rituals, frequent shoplifting) *or* any serious impairment in social, occupational, or school functioning (e.g., no friends, unable to keep a job, cannot work).

In April, 2013, Claimant attended a Medication Review with his psychiatrist at . The psychiatrist observed Claimant's hygiene and grooming were adequate. His speech was somewhat vague, generally logical and coherent but at times seemingly illogical. His mood was nearly euthymic. His affect was blunted, potentially labile. His judgment and insight are poor. Cognition is mildly impaired. He was experiencing continued auditory hallucinations. Primary mixed cluster B personality dynamics were present. Diagnosis: Axis I: Psychosis, Mood Disorder, ADD, Social phobia, Primary insomnia; Axis II: Personality Disorder with mixed cluster B traits; Axis III: Knee pain; Axis IV: Stressors are primarily having no social contacts, no friendships, no job, no money and no insurance; Axis V: GAF=50. The psychiatrist adjusted Claimant's medications, beginning Abilify and Trazodone and discontinuing Seroquel.

According to the DSM-IV, 4th Ed., a GAF of 50 indicates serious symptoms (e.g., suicidal ideation, severe obsessional rituals, frequent shoplifting) *or* any serious impairment in social, occupational, or school functioning (e.g., no friends, unable to keep a job, cannot work).

Claimant is 25 years old, with a special education high school education. Claimant's medical records and his behavior during the in-person hearing are consistent with his testimony that he is unable to engage in even a full range of sedentary work on a regular and continuing basis. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P. Appendix 11, Section 201.00(h). See Social Security Ruling 83-10; *Wilson v Heckler*, 743 F2d 216 (1986).

The Department has failed to provide vocational evidence which establishes that Claimant has the residual functional capacity for substantial gainful activity and that given Claimant's age, education, and work experience, there are significant numbers of jobs in the national economy which Claimant could perform despite Claimant's limitations. Accordingly, this Administrative Law Judge concludes Claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA program.

A person is considered disabled for purposes of SDA if the person has a physical or mental impairment which meets federal SSI disability standards for at least 90 days. Receipt of SSI or RSDI benefits based upon disability or blindness or the receipt of MA benefits based upon disability or blindness automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program. Other specific financial and non-financial eligibility criteria are found in BEM 261. Inasmuch as Claimant has been found "disabled" for purposes of MA, he must also be found "disabled" for purposes of SDA benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA and SDA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- 1. The department shall process Claimant's June 13, 2013, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application, and shall award him all the benefits he may be entitled to receive, as long as he meets the remaining financial and non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The department shall review Claimant's medical condition for improvement in March, 2015, unless his Social Security Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding his continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

Decli Z.

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 14, 2014

Date Mailed: March 17, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CC:		

VLA/las