STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2013-42879 2009; 4009

October 22, 2013 Oakland-03

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge purs uant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on October 22, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personal ly appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Assistance Payment Supervisor

During the hearing, Claimant waived the time per iod for the issuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of addition al medical evidence. The new evidence was forwarded to the State Hearing Review T eam ("SHRT") for consideration. On February 10, 2014, t he SHRT f ound Claimant was not disabled. This m atter is now before t he undersigned for a final decision.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department pr operly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA), Retroactive MA (Retro-MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On January 30, 2013, Claimant fil ed an application for MA/Retro-MA and SDA benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On March 11, 2013, the Medical Re view Team (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA/Retro-MA indicating Claimant was capable of performing other work. SDA was denied for lack of duration. (Depart Ex. A, pp 4-5).
- 3. On March 14, 2013, the department ca seworker sent Claimant notice that his application for MA/Retro-MA and SDA had been denied.

- 4. On April 15, 2013, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On July 9, 2013, the State Hearing Rev iew Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled and retained the capacit y to perform light work. (Depart Ex. B, pp 1-2).
- 6. As of the date of hear ing, Claimant was a 47-year-old female standing 5'3" tall and weighing 167 pounds. Claimant has an 11th grade education.
- 7. Claimant does not have any self r eported alcohol/drug abuse problems or history. Claimant did te stify that she smokes ha If a package of cigarettes per day.
- 8. Claimant has a driver's licens e but according to Claimant, her primary care physician has suspended her driver's license.
- 9. Claimant is not current ly working. Claima nt last worked in 2011, as a janitor.
- 10. Claimant alleges disability on t he basis of herniated discs , chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabe tes, hypertension, emphysema and asthma.
- 11. The subsequent 2/10/14 SHRT decis ion as detailed in the attached Disability Determination Evaluat ion is adopted and incorporated to the following extent:

Claimant's condition results in some limitations in her ability to perform work related activities . However, her condition is not severe enough to keep her from working. Based on the evidence, it is found Claimant can adjust to other work.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

The State Disability Assistanc e (SDA) program which provides financial as sistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the SDA pr ogram pursuant to MC L 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manu al (BAM), the Brid ges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) and t he Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

Statutory authority for the SDA program states in part:

(b) A person with a phy sical or mental impair ment which meets federal SSI disab ility standards, exce pt that the minimum duration of the dis ability shall be 90 days.
Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

In order to receive MA benefits based upo n di sability or blindne ss, claimant must b e disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901) . DHS, being authorized to make such disabilit y determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the feder al Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

... the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically deter minable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that severa I considerat ions be analyzed in sequential order:

... We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residu al functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educat ion and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CF R 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful act ivity, we will find that you are not disabled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If

no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).

- Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings s pecified for the listed impairment that meets the duration require ment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the forme r work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, t he client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is c ontinues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step considers the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience t o see if the clien t can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

You must provide medical ev idence showing that you hav e an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish t hat you are disabled; there must be medical signs and labor atory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). The medical evidence must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d). Medical findings c onsist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Your statements alone are not enough to establish that ther e is a physic al or ment al impairment.
- (b) Signs are anatomical, physiologi cal, or psychological abnormalities which c an be obs erved, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinical diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicate specific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalit ies of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientation, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically acceptable laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of thes e diagnostic techniques include chemical tes ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, elec troencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X-rays), and psychological tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physi cal or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a

continuous period of not less than 12 months . See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiological , or psychological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable c linical and laboratory diagnostic tec hniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis herein, Claimant is not inelig ible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). An impair ment or combination of impair ments is not severe and a finding of not disabled is made at Step 2 when medical evidence establishes only a slight abnormality or combination of s light abnormalities, which would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work, even if the individual's ed ucation and/or work experience were specifically consider ed. Social Security Ruling 85-28. In other words, a finding of no severity is appropriate when a person's impairments have no more than a minimal effect on his or her physic al or ment al abilities to perform basic work activities. To meet durati on for MA, a claimant must have a severe impair ment for a continuous period of one year. To meet duration for SDA, a claimant must have a severe impair ment for a severe impairment for a continuous period of 90 days.

Claimant has not presented the required c ompetent, material and substantial ev idence which would support a finding t hat the claim ant has an impairment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities for a continuous period of 90 days, and therefore, one year. Although Claimant has cited medical problems, the clinical do cumentation submitted by Claimant is no t sufficient to establis h a finding t hat Claimant is disabled. There is no objec tive medical evidence to substantiate Claimant's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are severe enough for the required duration, to reach the criteria and definition of disability for either MA or SDA.

As noted above, Claimant has the burden of pr oof pursuant to 20 CFR 416.912(c). Federal and state law is quite specific with regards to the type of evidence sufficient to show statutory disability. 20 CF R 416.913. This aut hority requires sufficient medic al evidence to substantiate and corroborate statutory disability as it is defined under federal and state law. 20 CFR 416.913 (b), .913(d), and .913(e); BEM 260. These medic al findings must be corroborated by medical te sts, labs, and other corroborating medical evidence that substantiates disability. 20 CF R 416.927, .928. Moreover, complaints and symptoms of pain must be corroborated pursuant to 20 CFR 416.929(a), .929(c)(4), and .945(e). Claimant's medical evidence in t his case, taken as a whole, simply does not rise to statutory disability by me eting t hese federal and state requirements. 20 CF R 416.920; BEM 260, 261.

The 6th Circuit has held that subjective compla ints are inadequate to establish disabilit y when the objective evidence fail s to establish the existence of severity of the alleged pain. *McCormick v Secretary of Health and Human Services*, 861 F2d 998, 1003 (6th cir 1988).

For these reasons, and for the reasons stated above, statutory disability is not shown.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **UPHELD**.

Duchi Z. C

Vicki L. Armstrong Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 3, 2014

Date Mailed: March 3, 2014

NOTICE OF APPE AL: The Claimant may appeal the De cision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

