STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:

2014549 4009

February 6, 2014 Genesee County DHS #2

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on February 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the D epartment of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department of Hum an Services (Department) properly determine that the Claimant did not meet the disability standard for State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On June 21, 2013, the Claimant submitted an application for State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On September 1, 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that the Claimant did not meet the dis ability standard for state Disability Assistance (SDA) because it determined that the Claimant is capable of performing other work despite his impairments.
- 3. On September 5, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant notice that it had denied the application for assistance.
- 4. On September 20, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the denial of disability benefits.

- 5. On November 12, 2013, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) uphel d the Medical Rev iew Team's (MRT) deni al of State Dis ability Assistance (SDA) benefits.
- 6. The Claim ant applied for federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits at the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. The Social Security Administrati on (SSA) denie d the Claimant's federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) application a nd the Claimant reported that a SSI appeal is pending.
- 8. The Claim ant is a 38-year-old m an whos e birth dat e is
- 9. Claimant is 5' 7" tall and weighs 195 pounds.
- 10. The Claimant has a high school equivalent education.
- 11. The Claimant was not engaged in subst antial gainful activity at any time relevant to this matter.
- 12. The Claim ant has past relevant work e xperience as a janitor, which is considered unskilled work.
- 13. The Claim ant has the residual f unctional capacity to perform medium work.
- 14. The Claimant's disability claim is based on back pain, neuropathy, bipolar disorder, anxiety, and panic disorder.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and a ppeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michig an are found in the Mic higan Administrative Code, Rule 400.901 - 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance has been denied. Mich Admin Code, R 400.903. Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit le vels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Servic es Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (July 1, 2013), pp 1-44.

The State Disability Assistanc e (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq. and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policie s are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a phys ical or menta I impairment, which meets federal Sup plemental Security Income (SSI) disab ility

standards for at least ninety days. Rece ipt of SSI benefits based on disab ility or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435. 540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability und er the Medical Assistanc e and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental im pairment which c an be expected to result in death or which has last ed or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

To receive SDA, a per son must be disabled, caring for a disabled person, or age 65 or older. Department of Human Services Br idges Eligibility Manua I (BEM) 261 (July 1, 2013), p 1.

A person is disabled for SDA purposes if he:

- Receives other specified disability-related benefits or services,
- Resides in a qualified Special Living Arrangement facility, or
- Is certified as unable t o work due to ment all or physical disability for at least 90 days from the onset of the disability.
- Is diagnos ed as hav ing Acquired I mmunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS). BEM 261, pp 1-2.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order.

STEP 1

Does the client perform Substant ial Gainf ul Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is not disabled.

At step 1, a determination is made on whet her the Claimant is engaging in s ubstantial gainful activity (20 CF R 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that i nvolves doing signif icant physic al or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gai nful work activity" is work that is usually done for pa y or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CF R 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employ ment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he has demons trated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CF R 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416. 975). If an individual engages in SG A, he is not disabled regardless of how severe his physical or

mental impairments are and regar dless of his age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

The Claimant is not engage d in substantial gainful ac tivity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

STEP 2

Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 90 day s or more? If no, the client is not disabled.

At step two, a determination is made whether the Claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a comb ination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CF R 404. I520(c) and 4I6.920(c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work acti vities. An impairm ent or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a sligh t abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no m ore than a minimal effect on an individual 's ability to work (20 CF R 404.1521 and 416.921. If the Claimant does not have a sev ere medically determinable im pairment or combination of impairments, he is not disabled. If the Claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The Claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 90 days, or result in death.

The Claim ant is a 38-year-old man that is 5' 7" tall and weighs 195 pounds. The Claimant alleges disability due to back pain, neuropathy, bipolar disorder, anxiety, and panic disorder.

A licensed psychologist made the following findings:

The Claim ant is oriented to person, place, and time. The Claimant's thoughts are not disorgani zed or confused. The Claimant has no prominent mood problems. The Claimant 's inte llectual abilities appear average. The Claimant's speech is unimpaired. The Claimant's st ream of mental activity is spontaneous and organi zed. The Claimant 's abilities to understand, to attend to, remember, and carry out instructions are not impaired. The Claimant's ability to respond appropriately to co-workers and supervisors, and to adapt to change and stress in the workplace are moderately impaired.

A licensed psychologist found the Claimant's performance to be inc onsistent with his overall verbal presentation. A licensed psychologist found there to be inconsistencies between his observations of the Claimant, and the Claimant's r eported inability to perform activities of daily living. A lic ensed psychologist found t he Claimant to have moderate symptoms and has moderate difficulty in social and occupational functioning.

The Claimant's treating physician found the Cl aimant to have no mental limitations. A treating physician diagnosed the Claimant with Depressive disorder, opioid dependence with early remission, cannabis abuse, but ruled out anxiety disorder.

A social worker found the Claimant to have marked restrictions of his ability to remember locations and wor k procedure s, to understand and remember simple instructions, to carry out deta iled instructions, to maintain attention for extended period, to perform activities within a s chedule, to sustain an ordinar y routine, to work in coordination with others, to make simple work-related decisions, to complet e a normal workday, to accept instructions and respond to criticism, to get along with co-workers, and to set realistic goals and independent plans.

A treating physician f ound the Claimant to have no visible muscle atrophy, and the results of a straight leg test were negative.

The Claimant lives by himself and is capable of caring for his personal needs including showering and dressing himself without assistance. The Claimant smokes 10 cigarettes on a daily basis.

The objective medical evidence of record is not sufficient to establish that Claimant has severe impairments that expected to prevent employment at any job for a 90 day period or more. While there is one medical report with a finding of a s evere impairments, this report is inconsistent with the reports of other medical service pr oviders. The medical reports of the Claimant's tr eating physician found no ment al impairments. Another physicians found evidence of impairment, but these reports do not support a finding that the Claimant is unable to perform any work ac tivities. Therefore, Claimant is found not to be disabled at this step. In order to conduct a thorough evaluation of Claimant's disability assertion, the analysis will continue.

STEP 3

Does the impairment appear on a special listi ng of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4.

At step three, a determination is made whether the Claimant 's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the Claimant's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirem ent (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the Claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for back pain and neuropathy under section 1.04 Disorders of the spine, bec ause the objective medic al evidence does not demonstrate that the Cla imant suffers from nerve root compression resulting in loss of motor strength or reflexes, or resulting in a pos itive straight leg test. The objective

medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant has been dia gnosed with spinal arachnoiditis. The objective medical evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment has resulted in an inability to ambulate effectively.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for anxiety and panic disorder under section 12.04 Affective disorders, because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from marked restrictions of his activities of daily living or social functioning. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeated epis odes of decompensation or that he is unable to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for bipolar disorder and depression under section 12.04 Affective di sorders, because the objectiv e medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from marke d restrictions of his activities of daily living or social functioning. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeated epis odes of decompensati on or that he is unable to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement.

The medical evidence of the Claim ant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in federal code of regula tions 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1.

STEP 4

Can the client do the former work that he performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is not disabled.

Before considering step four of the sequent ial evaluation process, a deter mination is made of the Claim ant's residual functi onal capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416.920(c)). An individual's residual functi onal capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a su stained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In making this finding, the undersigned must cons ider all of the Cla imant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, a determination is m ade on whether the Claimant has the residual function al capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work (20 CFR 404.I520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the Claimant to learn to do the job and hav e been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the Claimant has the residual funct tional capacity to do his past re levant work, the Claimant is not disabled. If the Claim ant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

After careful consideration of the entire record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work as defined in

20 CFR 404.1567 and 416.967. T he evidence supports a finding that the Claimant's impairments are non-exertional, but that the Claimant is capable of performing s imple and repetitive work related tasks.

The Claimant has past relevant work experience as a janitor, which fits the definition of light work.

There is no evidenc e upon which this Administrative Law Judge could bas e a finding that the Claimant is unable to perform work substantially s imilar to work performed in the past.

STEP 5

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that the Claimant has the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) for Substantial Gainful Activity.

Does the client have the Res idual F unctional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Append ix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, client is not disabled.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g)), a determination is made whether the Claimant is able to do any other work considering his residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the Claimant is able to do other work, he is not disabled. If the Claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirement, he is disabled.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class if jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heav y. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dict ionary of Occupational Titles, publis hed by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like dock et files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is define d as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walk ing and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walk ing and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of wa lking or standing, or w hen it involves sitting

most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involv es lifting n o more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we dete rmine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The objective medical evidence indicates that t he Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenu ous tasks t han in his prior employment and that he is physically able to do less strenuous tasks if demanded of him. The Claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform medium.

The Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing.

The Claimant's complaints of pain, while pr ofound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to the Claimant's ability to perform work.

Claimant is 38-years-old, a younger person, under age 50, with a high school equivalent education, and a history of un skilled work. Based on the objective medical evidence of record Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work, and State Disability Assistance (SDA) is denied using Vocational Rule 20 CFR 203.28 as a guide.

The Department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains t he following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding t he State Disabi lity Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. De partment of Human Services Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) 261 (July 1, 2013), pp 1-8. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P pr ogram and because t he eviden ce of record does not establish t hat the Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability crit eria for State Disab ility Assistance benefits either.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant \Box disabled \boxtimes not disabled for purposes of the State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's determination is \square **AFFIRMED** \square REVERSED.

Kevin

Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the De cision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cann ot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a w rong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not t review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

2014549/KS

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/hj

