STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20142791 Issue No.: 2009; 4009

Case No.:

Hearing Date:

February 6, 2014

County: Genesee County DHS #2

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notice, a telephon e hearing was held on February 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Hum an Services (Department) properly determine that the Claimant did not meet the di sability standard for Medical Assistance (MA-P) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On July 29, 2013, the Claimant submitted an application for Medica I Assistance (MA) and State Disability A ssistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.
- On September 14, 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that the Claimant did not meet t he disability standard for Medic a Assistance (MA-P) and State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) because it determined that he i s capable of per forming other work despite his impairments.
- 3. On September 18, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant notice that it had denied the application for assistance.
- 4. On September 30, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the denial of disability benefits.

- 5. On September 14, 2013, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) upheld the Medical Review Team's (MRT) denial of Medical A ssistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits.
- 6. The Claim ant applied for federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits at the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. The Social Security Administrati on (SSA) denie d the Claimant's federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) application and the Claimant reported that a SSI appeal is pending.
- 8. The Claim ant is a 49-year-old m an whose birth dat e is
- 9. Claimant is 5' 9" tall and weighs 145 pounds.
- 10. The Claimant was awarded an associated degree.
- 11. The Claimant was not engaged in subst antial gainful activity at any time relevant to this matter.
- 12. The Claimant has past relevant work experience as an electromechanic al technician, which is considered skilled work.
- 13. The Claim ant has the residual f unctional capacity to perform medium work.
- 14. The Cla imant's disab ility claim is based on arthritis, asthma, dizziness, seizures, schizophrenia, depression, bipolar disorder, and memory problems.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michig an are found in the Mic higan Administrative Code, Rule 400.901 - 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his claim for assistance has been denied. Mich Admin Code, R 400.903. Clients have the right to contest a Department decision affecting eligibility or benefit le vels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (July 1, 2013), pp 1-44.

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq. and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policie s are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a phys ical or menta I impairment, which meets federal Sup plemental Security Income (SSI) disab ility standards for at least ninety days. Rece ipt of SSI benefits based on disab ility or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435. 540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental im pairment which c an be expected to result in death or which has last ed or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order.

STEP 1

Does the client perform Substant ial Gainf ul Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is not disabled.

At step 1, a determination is made on whet her the Claimant is engaging in s ubstantial gainful activity (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that i nvolves doing signif icant physic all or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gai nful work activity" is work that is usually done for pary or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employ ment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he has demons trated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he is not disabled regardless of how severe his physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

The Claimant is not engage d in substantial gainful ac tivity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

STEP 2

Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is not disabled.

At step two, a determination is made whether the Claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a comb ination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CF R 404. I520(c) and 4I6.920(c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work activities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have nome ore than a minimal effect on an individual 's ability to work (20 CF R 404.1521 and 416. 921. If the Claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, he is not disabled. If the Claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The Claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that he has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months, or result in death.

The Claim ant is a 49-year-old man that is 5' 9" tall and weighs 145 pounds. The Claimant alleges disability due to arthritis, asthma, dizziness, seizures, schizophrenia, depression, bipolar disorder, and memory problems.

The objective medical evidence indicates the following:

A physician diagnos ed the Claimant with bipolar disorder and alcoho I dependence. A treating phys ician f ound the Cla imant's ab ility to remember locations and work-lik e procedures, his ability to understand and remember detailed instructions, his ability to carry out detailed instructions, his ability to maintain attention and conc entration, his ability to work in coordinatio n with others, and his ability to complete a normal workday are markedly limited. A tr eating physician found the Claimant's ability to sustain an ordinary routi ne without supervision, his ability to interact appropriately with the gener all public, his ability to accept instructions and criticism, his ability to get along with co-workers, and his ability to respond to changes in a work setting are moderately impaired.

The Claim ant lives alone and is c apable of dressing, showe ring, and caring for his personal needs without assistance. The Claimant is capable of preparing meals and shop ping for groceries. The Claimant is capable of sweeping and mopping floors. The Claimant is capable of picking through trash to find items that may have value.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has es tablished a sever e physical impairment that has more than a de mi nimus effect on the Claimant's ability to perform work activities. The Claimant's impairments have lasted continuously, or are expected to last for twelve months.

STEP 3

Does the impairment appear on a special listi ng of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of

medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4.

At step three, a determination is made whether the Claimant 's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the Claimant's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirem ent (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the Claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for bi-polar syndrome or depression under section 12.04 Affective di sorders, because the objectiv e medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from marke d restrictions of his activities of daily living. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeated episodes of decompensation or that he is unable to function outside a highly supportive living arrangement. A treating physician found the Claimant to have marked restrictions of social functioning. The evidence on the record supports a finding t hat the Claimant's activities of da ily living are not markedly limited. The Claimant testified that he live s alone and does not require assistance for his personal needs. The Claimant is capable of prepari ng meals, shopping for groceries, and cleaning his home. The Claimant testified that he pick s through the trash searching for things that may have some value. A treat ing physician found the Claimant to have no significant limitations of his ability to perform activities within a schedule, make simple work-related decisions, be aware of normal hazards, travel to unfamiliar places, us e public transportation, and set realistic goals.

The Claimant's impairm ent failed to meet the listing for schizophrenia under section 12.03 Schizophrenic, paranoid, and other psychotic disor ders because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant has marked restrictions of his activities of daily living. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeat ed episodes of decompensation or that he is unable to function outside a highly supportive environment. The evidence on the record supports a finding that the Claimant does not have severe restrictions of his activit ies of daily living.

The Claimant's impairment failed to meet the listing for seizures under sections 11.02 or 11.03 Epilepsy because the objective medical evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant suffers from repeat ed seizures that result in a loss of consciousness or significantly interfere with his act ivities during the day. The object ive medical evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant suffers from repeated seizures that alter his awareness and manifest in a significant impairment of his daily activities.

The Claim ant's impairment failed to meet the listing for arthritis under section 14.09 Inflammatory Arthritis, because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate an impairment involving a weight-bearing join than dresulting in an inability to ambulate effectively. The objective evidence does not support a finding that the Claimant lacks the ability to perform fine and gross movements with each upper extremity.

The Cla imant's impa irment failed to meet the listing for asthma under s ection 3.03 Asthma because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate frequent asthma attacks requiring physician intervention or in-patient hospitalization.

The medical evidence of the Claim ant's condition does not give rise to a finding that he would meet a statutory listing in federal code of regula tions 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1.

STEP 4

Can the client do the former work that he performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is not disabled.

Before considering step four of the sequent ial evaluation process, a deter mination is made of the Claim ant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 4l6.920(c)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In making this finding, the undersigned must consider all of the Claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, a determination is m ade on whether the Claimant has the residual function al capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work (20 CFR 404.I520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the Claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his past relevant work, the Claimant is not disabled. If the Claim ant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

After careful consideration of the entire record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work as defined in 20 CFR 404.1567 and 416.967. The Claimant has past relevant work experience as an electro-mechanical technician, which fits the definition of skilled work.

There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that the Claimant is unable to perform work substantially similar to work performed in the past despite his current impairments.

STEP 5

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that the Claimant has the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) for Substantial Gainful Activity.

Does the client have the Res idual F unctional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Append ix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, client is not disabled.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g)), a determination is made whether the Claimant is able to do any other work considering his residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the Claimant is able to do other work, he is not disabled. If the Claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirement, he is disabled.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class if jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heav y. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dict ionary of Occupational Titles, publis hed by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like dock et files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is define d as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walk ing and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walk ing and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of wa lking or standing, or when it invo lives sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involv es lifting n o more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in his prior employment and that he is physically able to do less strenuous tasks if demanded of him. The Claimant's testimony as to his limitations indicates that he should be able to perform medium work.

The Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing.

Claimant is 49 years-old, a younger person, under age 50, with a high school education and above, and a history of skilled work. Bas ed on the objective medical evidence of record Claimant has the residual function all capacity to perform medium work, and Medical Assistance (MA) and State Disability As sistance (SDA) is denied using Vocational Rule 20 CFR 203.31 as a guide.

The federal regulations include the following guidelines for evaluating age.

We will us e each of the age cat egories that applies to you d uring the period for which we must determine if you are disabled. We will not apply the age categories mechanically in a borderline situation. If you are within a few days to a fe w months of reaching an older age category, and using the older age category would result in a determination or decision that y ou are disabled, we will consider whether to use the older age cat egory after evaluating the overall impact of all the factors of your case. 20 CFR 416.963(b).

Reviewing the Cla imant's circumstances as a person closely approaching advanced age, 50-54, with a high school education and above, and a history of skilled work, and a capability of performing medium work, then Medic al Assistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) are denied using Voc ational Rule 20 CFR 203.24 as a guide.

The Department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable diperson or age 65 or older. Despartment of Human Services Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) 261 (July 1, 2013), pp 1-8. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that the Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant \square disabled \boxtimes not disabled for purposes of the Medical Ass istance (M.A.) and State Dis ability Assistance (SDA) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

AFFIRMED REVERSED.
Keni Sant
Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 25, 2014

Date Mailed: February 25, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a w rong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will no t review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/hj

