

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2014-23030  
Issue No(s): 3008  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: February 13, 2014  
County: Ingham

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: DARRYL T. JOHNSON**

**HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 13, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an on-going recipient of FAP, Medical Assistance (MA) and Financial Independence Program (FIP – cash assistance) benefits.
2. On November 1, 2013, a semi-annual report (Exhibit 1, Pages 1-2) was mailed to Claimant for her to complete and return by December 1, 2013.
3. The Department received the completed report on December 26, 2013 (Exhibit 1, Pages 4-5) but it was not logged into the Department's system.
4. On January 3, 2014, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (Exhibit 1, Pages 6-8) informing her that her MA-QMB program was being closed and that she was approved for the SLMB program beginning February 1, 2014, and that her FAP was being decreased to \$ [REDACTED] per month as of January 1, 2014.
5. On January 9, 2014, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (Exhibit 1, Pages 11-14) informing her that FIP and MA were denied beginning February 1, 2014.

6. The Department has subsequently restored Claimant's FAP to \$ [REDACTED] per month beginning February 1, 2014 after reviewing her case and finding that she properly and timely verified her employment status and assets, and that the Department erred in not timely logging her verification.
7. Claimant requested a hearing on January 14, 2014 on the issues of FIP, FAP, and MA.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Claimant stated on the record that she no longer wanted a hearing on the issues of MA and FIP, and that she only wanted to have the FAP decision reviewed. She is satisfied with the action the Department took with respect to MA and FIP. Therefore, this decision will focus solely on the issue of FAP.

The Department acknowledged that it was departmental error that caused Claimant's documents to not be logged when received. Had they been logged properly, Claimant's FAP would not have been reduced to \$ [REDACTED] for the month of January 2014.

Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness. BAM 600.

When the Department calculates a FAP budget and eligibility for medical assistance it takes into account, among many other factors, the earned and unearned income the Claimant receives.

It is not within the scope of the Administrative Law Judge's authority to create new guidelines, eligibility criteria, or deductibles that the Department is to use. The issues that can be decided are whether the Department followed policy with respect to each program, based upon the existing rules, laws, policies, etc.

The Claimant did not dispute the amounts used by the Department in her budget. There is no evidence that the Department erred in its calculation of Claimant's FAP benefits after taking into account her monthly income and expenses. The only error was when the Department did not log her documents timely, causing her FAP to be reduced to \$ [REDACTED] for one month before being corrected.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it determined Claimant's FIP and MA eligibility. The Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it reduced Claimant's FAP for January 2014.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED IN PART** with respect to Claimant's MA and FIP, and **REVERSED IN PART** with respect to her FAP.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Redetermine Claimant's FAP benefit eligibility, for the month of January 2014;
2. Issue a supplement to Claimant for any benefits improperly not issued.



**Darryl T. Johnson**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 14, 2014

Date Mailed: February 14, 2014

**NOTICE OF APP EAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

cc:

