STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-22794

Issue No(s).: 3002

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

February 12, 2014 SSPC Central

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 11, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant incl uded the Claimant Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included Hearings Coordinator

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine Claimant's F ood Ass istance Pr ogram (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant applied for FAP on December 9, 2013.
- A Verification Checklist (VCL) was ma iled to Claim ant on December 11, 2013 requiring Claimant to verify his income, assets, and shelter expenses, with a due date of December 23, 2013.
- 3. On December 26, 2013 Claimant's case was denied due to failure to verify.
- 4. On January 2, 2014 Claimant's verifications were found and, based upon verified income Claimant was approved for monthly FAP of
- 5. On January 16, 2014, Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

A Claimant is expected to provide verificati on of inc ome and expenses. As stated in BAM 210, page 9, "A report is c onsidered complete when all of the sections (including the signature section) on the DHS-1046 and the DHS 2240-A are answered completely and required verifications are returned by the client or client's authorized representative. If an expense has changed and the client does not return proof of the expense, but all of the sections on the report ar e answered completely, remove the expens e from the appropriate data collection screen in Bridges before running eligibility determination and benefit calculation (EDBC)."

The Claim ant and the Depar tment agreed that Claimant and his spouse together receive \$ monthly in Retirement, Survivor, and Dis ability Income, before deductions for Medicare premiums. Claim ant feels that his monthly benefit is insufficient for him to survive. It is not within the scope of the Administrative Law Judge's authority to create new guidelines, eligibility criteria, or deductible sithat the Department is to use. The issues that can be decided are whether the Department followed policy with respect to each program, based upon the existing rules, laws, policies, etc.

The Claimant did not dispute the amounts used by the Department in his budget. There is no evidence that the Department erred in its calculation of Cl aimant's FAP benefits after taking into account his monthly unearned income and expenses.

It is worth noting that Claimant has subs equently provided verification of his shelter expenses, and that has result ed in an incr ease in his monthly FAP from \$ to \$ beginning February 1, 2014

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's application for FAP benefits because of his failure to verify his income and assets, and when it increas ed his FAP to after he provided the required verification.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 13, 2014

Date Mailed: February 13, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

cc: