

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**



Reg. No.: 201422509  
Issue No.: 3000; 5001  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: February 11, 2014  
County: Macomb(20)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Carmen G. Fahie

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, February 11, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], ES.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly process Claimant's request for State Emergency Relief (SER) assistance with shelter emergency?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On January 10, 2014, Claimant applied for SER assistance with shelter emergency.
2. On January 13, 2014, the Department sent Claimant a SER Decision Notice.
3. On January 22, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's SER decision.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich

Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049. Department policies are found in the Department of Human Services State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

Additionally, the Claimant applied for assistance with her shelter expenses with a SER application received by the Department on January 10, 2014. Department Exhibit 8-10. On January 13, 2014, the Department Caseworker sent the Claimant a denial notice because the address on the court order eviction was not the home she currently resided in. Department 11. As a result, the Claimant was not eligible for SER because she had already moved from the place she was being evicted from. ERM 103.

During the hearing, the Claimant stated that she had applied for SER 5 times before she had moved by mailing the completed application to the Department. The Department Caseworker stated that no other SER application had been received on behalf of the Claimant. The Claimant had no other proof of verification and the applications were not returned in the mail to the Claimant. The Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department has met their burden that the Claimant's SER application received January 10, 2014 was properly denied because the Claimant was no longer residing at the address that she was requesting SER benefits for and had moved to another address.

A hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to the Claimant's FAP program benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that she now understood the actions taken by the Department and did not wish to proceed with the FAP portion of the hearing. The Request for Hearing for FAP was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the FAP hearing request.


The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it issued its SER Decision Notice.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's SER decision is AFFIRMED.

Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request for FAP filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing is, hereby, DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

  
**Carmen G. Fahie**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/aca

cc:

