STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



2014-22485 Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: 2002, 3002 Case No.: Hearing Date: February 11, 2014 Calhoun County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 11, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan, Participants on behalf of Claimant included t he Claimant's sister and legal Guardian, of the Department of Human Services Participants on behalf (Department) included Eligibility Specialist

ISSUE

Did the Department pr operly close Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) and Medicaid (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an on-going MA and FAP recipient.
- 2. On October 15, 2013 the Department mail ed a Redetermination (Exhibit 1 Page s 3-6), to Claimant's Guardian which she completed and returned.
- A Verification Checklist (Exhibit 1 Pages 13-14) was mailed to Claimant's Guardian 3. on December 18, 2013, in which Claimant was instructed to provide proof "from stating the account is closed, and the statem ent from and by December 30, 2013.
- Claimant's Guardian provi ded a c opy of a statement 4. (Exhibit 1 Page 17) f rom November 30, 2013, and a screen shot (Page 18) from what is presumed to be as of December 26, 2013.

- 5. In a Notic e of Case Action dated January 2, 2014 (Exhib it 1 Pages 7-12), Claimant's FAP was closed beginning February 1, 2014 and reporting that his MA deductible of \$ was met for December 2013.
- 6. In a Notic e of Cas e Action dated January 9, 2014 (Exhibit 1 Pages 19-23), Claimant's FAP was c losed beginning Ma rch 1, 2014 and reporting that he was approved for the Medicaid SLMB program with a \$0.00 deductible beginning February 1, 2014.
- 7. On January 17, 2014 Claimant requested a hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

"Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes completion of necessary forms; see Refusal to Cooperate Penalties in this item. Clie nts must complete ly and truthfully ans wer all qu estions on forms and in interviews." BAM 105.

Per BAM 130, at page 6, says:

Verifications are considered to be time ly if received by the date they are due. For electronically transmitted verifications (fax, email or Mi Bridges document upload), the date of the transmission is the receipt date. Verifications that are submitted after the close of r egular business hours through the drop box or by delivery of a DH S representative are considered to be received the next business day.

Send a negative action notice when:

The client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or

The time period given has elaps ed and the client has **not** made a reasonable effort to provide it.

BAM 130 instructs, with respect to the FIP, SDA, MA and AMP programs, "A collateral contact is a direct contact with a person, organization or agency to verify information from the client. It might be necessary when docume ntation is not available or when available evidence needs clarification.

"The client must name su itable collateral c ontacts when request ed. You may assist the client to designat e them. You are responsible for obtaining the verification."

BAM 130 does NOT place resp onsibility on the Department to make colla teral contact for FAP applicants or recipients. For all programs, when it comes to verification, BAM 130,

"The client must obtain required verifica tion, but you must assi st if they need and request help.

"If neither the client nor you can obtain veri fication despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, use your best judgment."

The iss ue is whether the Claim ant provided timely verification in response to the request. The evidence is persuasive that the Verification Checklist was mailed to the Claimant's Guardian at her address of record. The evidence also establishes that the Guardian did not fully respond by the deadline. Because she did not comply by timely providing his verification, the Department properly closed his FAP.

It is noted that the Guardian testified that she submitted proof that the account was closed. The record contains documents that the Claimant submitted, including a bank statement, proof of her Guardianship, a copy of Claimant's VA benefits letter, and a screen shot of another bank account. There is no evidence, other than her testimony, that the first for verification was submitted. Also, the screen shot of what is believed to be the first for the first for account is insufficient because it does not identify the account holder, or even the bank.

Claimant was previously receiving benefits through the Medicaid Group 2 Aged, Blind, and Disabled program. He had a deductible of benefits through the Specified Low-Income Medi SLMB pay s Medicar e Part B premiums. To applicant must have net income over 100% of 120% of poverty. Claimant is a 30% di sabled veter an (See Exhibit 1 Page 15) and receives \$ monthly because his disability is service-connected.

Medicare Savings Programs are SSI-related MA categories. They are neither Group 1 nor Group 2. This item describes the three categories that make up the Medicare Savings Programs. The three categories are:

1. Qualified Medicare Beneficiari es, also c alled full-c overage Q MB and just QMB. Program group type is QMB.

- 2. Specified Low-Income Medicare Beneficiaries, also called limited coverage QMB and SLMB. Program group type is SLMB.
- 3. Q1 Additional Low-Income Medica re Beneficiaries, als o referred to as ALMB and as just Q1. Program group type is ALMB. BEM 165.

There are both similarities and differences between elig ibility polic ies for the three categories. Benefits among the three categories also differ. Income is the major determiner of category. A person who is e ligible for one of these categories cannot choose to receive a different Medicare Sa vings Program category. For example, a person eligible for QMB cannot choose SLMB instead. All eligibility factors must be met in the calendar month being tested. BEM 165.

Benefits of Medicare Savings Programs differ depending on the program. QMB Benefits pay Medicare premiums and Medicare coin surances and Medic are deductibles. SLM B Benefits pay Medicar e Part B premiums, wh ile ALMB Benefits pay Medicare Part B premiums provided funding is available. The Department of Community Health decides whether funding is available. BEM 165. General info rmation about Medicare and information about the Buy-In program is available in BAM 180.

The department makes separate Medicare Sa vings Programs determination for the following clients if they are entitled to Medicare Part A:

- Medicare Savings Programs-only.
- Group 2 MA (FIP-related and SSI-related).
- Extended Care (BEM 164).
- Healthy Kids.
- TMA-Plus.

Automatic QMB Person's receiv ing MA under t he following categories and entitled to Medicare Part A are considered QMB eligib le without a separate QMB determination. The QMB coverage date begins the calendar month after the processing month. The processing month is the month during which you make the eligibility determination. QMB is not available for past months or the processing month.

SLMB coverage is available for retro MA mont hs and later months. Note: SL MB is only available for months when income exceed s the QMB limit. A person cannot choose SLMB in place of QMB in order for coverage to start sooner (example, to get retro MA). ALMB coverage is available for retro MA months and later months; however, not for time in a previous c alendar year. ALMB is not approved for any month that is in a previous calendar year, even if application was made in the previous calendar year.

As stated above, the Claimant is required to provide verification of assets. His Guardian did not provide all of the required verification. Therefore, the FAP was properly closed.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it closed Cla imant's FAP when his assets

were not verified. It also acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed his Group 2 Medicaid benefits and approved him for SLMB benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Darryl T. Johnson Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 12, 2014

Date Mailed: February 12, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2014-22485/DTJ

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DJT/las

