STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-21980

Issue No(s).: 3008

Case No.: Hearing Date: F

February 12, 2014

County: Berrien

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 12, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Assistance Payments Supervisor and Eligibility Specialist

ISSUE

Did the Department properly reduce Claimant's F ood Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- Claimant was an on-going F AP recipient, with a monthly benef it of \$ in late 2013.
- Claimant was scheduled for a redetermination of her FAP in December 2013.
- 3. A Notice of Case Action (Exhibit 1 Pag es 2-3) was mailed to Claim ant on December 27, 2013, informing her that her FAP would be closed beginning January 1, 2014 because of her failure to provide verification of assets. Specifically, she was told that her case "closed because the (sic) both of the bank statements you turned in for the acc ount were showing balances from September. Please submit a print out or statement from this account showing balances fr om the last 30 days and I may be able to reinstate your case."
- 4. Claimant submitted verification satisfactory to the Department.

- 5. On January 9, 2014, the Claimant requested a hearing.
- 6. On January 13, 2014, the Department mail ed a Notic e of Case Action (Exhibit 1 Pages 4-5) informing her that she was approved for monthly benefits of \$ beginning January 1, 2014.
- 7. Claimant has been, and is , employed at a per hour.
- 8. Claimant had been working approximately 26 hours per week, but in January 2014 she was reduced to approximately 14 hours per week.
- 9. Claimant does not disagr ee with the prev ious calcul ation of her gross earned income of \$ per month, but becaus e of her reduction in hours her most recent wages and hours for the past three pay periods were:
 - a. January 27, 2014
- \$
- b. February 3, 2014
- \$
- c. February 10, 2014
- \$

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

When the Department calculates a FAP budget and elig ibility for medical assistance it takes into account, among many other factors, the earned and unearned income the Claimant receives.

It is not within the scope of the Administra tive Law Judge's aut hority to create new guidelines, eligibility criteria, or deductibles that the D epartment is to use. The issue s that can be decided are whether the Department followed policy with respect to each program, based upon the existing rules, laws, policies, etc.

The Claimant did not dispute the amounts used by the Department in her budget. There is no evidence that the Department erred in its calculation of Cl aimant's FAP benefits after taking into account her monthly income and expenses.

The Claimant has provi ded evidence of her wages for the three most recent weeks. That might result in a change in her benefits, but it is not within the scope of this hearing to determine anything other than whether the Department properly calculated her FAP benefits based upon her income that was verified at the time of the Department's action.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it decreased Claim ant's Food Assistanc e Program benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**

Darryl T. Johnson
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 12, 2014

Date Mailed: February 12, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

DTJ/las

cc: