# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201421819

Issue No.: 3001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 6, 2014

County: Kent

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, telephone hearing was held on Thursday, February 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included F. FIM and F. ES.

# **ISSUE**

Due to excess income, did the Department properly reduce Claimant's benefits for Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received FAP benefits.
- 2. On December 7 and 10, 2013, the Department reduced Claimant's benefits due to excess income.
- On December 7 and 10, 2013, the Department sent Claimant notice of its decision.
- 4. On December 23, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the Claimant was a recipient of FAP benefits with month. Department Exhibit 12-14. The Claimant was approved for Social Security RSDI benefits of with a cost of living increase from Exhibit 25-272.

As a result of excess income, the Claimant had a decrease in FAP benefits. After deductions from her gross income of of a standard deduction and a . The Claimant was medical deduction for an adjusted gross income of given a total shelter deduction of , resulting from a housing expense of and heat and utility standard of . The Claimant was given an adjusted excess shelter deduction of , with a total shelter deduction of minus 50% of . The Claimant had a net income of adjusted gross income of was the adjusted gross income of minus the excess shelter deduction of , the Claimant qualified with a household group . With a net income of size of 1 for a maximum benefit of plus in economic recovery minus 30% , resulting in a net benefit amount of of net income of . Department Exhibit 17-19. On December 10, 2013, the Department caseworker sent the Claimant a notice that her FAP benefits would be decreasing to per month. Department Exhibit 30-34. The Claimant may be entitled to additional FAP benefits, but the Claimant has not provided written verification of condo association fees, insurance, and additional rider.

The Department has met its burden that the Claimant had excess income for FAP resulting in a decrease in FAP benefits from to EE. BEM 210, 212, 205, 211, 233A-C, 400, 214, 261, 500, 503, 505, 515, 550, 554, 518, 556, 525, 703, and 710. BAM 105, 110, 115, 210, 220, 130, and 600.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it decrease in FAP benefits from as a result of excess income.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Carmen G. Fahie
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 12, 2014

Date Mailed: February 12, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

# 201421819/CGF

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# CGF/aca

