STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2014-21778 3007

February 6, 2014 Macomb #20

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ES and

ISSUE

Does the Administrative Law Judge have juri sdiction concerning Claimant's request for FAP benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's Food Assistance closed effective May 1, 2012.
- 2. On December 19, 2013, Claimant applied for Medical Assistance.
- 3. On December 30, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action approving Medicaid with **\$100** monthly deductible.
- 4. The Department also sent Claimant a Hearing Request form with the December 20, 2013 Notice.
- 5. The Hearing Request Form had che ckboxes for various programs through DHS.
- 6. Claimant checked the box for "F ood Assistance" because he wanted Fo od Assistance.

7. Claimant has not applied for Food Assistance, however.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] i s established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistanc e in Michigan are found in Mich Admin Code, R 400.90 1 through R 400.951. Rule 400.903(1) provides as follows:

An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because [a] claim for assistance is denied or is not acted upon with reasonabl e promptness, and to any recipient who is aggrieved by a Department action resulting in suspension, reduction, discontinuance, or termination of assistance.

Here, Claimant had not applied for FAP nor was he active for FAP at the time of the request for hearing. Moreover, Claimant had not even submitted a filing f orm seeking FAP. Because the Department had not denied a c laim for FAP and becaus e Claimant had not even applied for FAP, this Administra tive Law Judge lack s jurisdiction to hear his request for hearing concerning FAP.

In this regard, the December 30, 2013 decision is affirmed.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it issued the December 30, 2013 decision.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Michael &. Newell

MICHAEL S. NEWELL Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 14, 2014

Date Mailed: February 14, 2014

NOTICE OF AP PEAL: The claimant may appeal the Dec ision and Order to Circu it Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2014-21778/MSN

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MSN/las

