STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 201421504

Issue No.: 2002

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 19, 2014

County: SSPC-West

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: C. Adam Purnell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a three-way telephone hearing was held on February 19, 2014 from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant participated via telephone and provided testimony. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included (Eligibility Specialist).

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) benefits due to failure to properly comply with the verification requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA on November 24, 2013.
- 2. On November 26, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503), which requested Claimant provide verification of his wages, salaries, tips and commissions in the form of check stubs, earnings statements (last 30 days), employer statement, Verification of Employment (DHS-38), or Agricultural Income Verification (DHS-3569) and checking account verification in the form of a current statement from bank or financial institution or Verification of Assets (DHS-20). The notice indicated that "bank statements must be from the past 30 days and include your name, bank name and current balance." The proofs were due by December 6, 2013.

- 3. On December 27, 2013, the Department mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action (DHS-1605) which denied Claimant's MA application due to failure to provide requested verifications.
- 4. Claimant requested a hearing on January 9, 2014 to challenge the denial of his MA application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Clients who are able but refuse to provide necessary information or take a required action are subject to penalties. BAM 105, p 18. Clients must take actions within their ability to obtain verifications. BAM 130 and BEM 702 (1-1-2014). Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130. Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. BAM 130.

Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. BAM 130. For MA, the client has 10 days to provide requested verifications (unless policy states otherwise). BAM 130. For MA and AMP only, if the client cannot provide the verification despite a reasonable effort, the department worker may extend the time limit up to three times. BAM 130. Should the client indicate a refusal to provide a verification or, conversely, if the time period given has elapsed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it, the department may send the client a negative action notice. BAM 130.

The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. BAM 130. The Department sometimes will utilize a verification checklist (VCL) or a DHS form telling clients what is needed to determine or redetermine eligibility. See Bridges Program Glossary (BPG) at page 47.

Here, the Department contends that Claimant, on December 6, 2013, returned the requested verifications but the bank statement that he sent did not contain a name on the document. The Department also indicates that the account number listed on the application does not match the account number identified on Claimant's bank statement. Claimant testified that he mailed everything in to the Department as

requested at least 5 days before the due date. Claimant did not directly challenge the Department's contentions regarding the missing name and the account number discrepancy.

Testimony and other evidence must be weighed and considered according to its reasonableness. *Gardiner v Courtright*, 165 Mich 54, 62; 130 NW 322 (1911); *Dep't of Community Health v Risch*, 274 Mich App 365, 372; 733 NW2d 403 (2007). The weight and credibility of this evidence is generally for the fact-finder to determine. *Dep't of Community Health*, 274 Mich App at 372; *People v Terry*, 224 Mich App 447, 452; 569 NW2d 641 (1997). Moreover, it is for the fact-finder to gauge the demeanor and veracity of the witnesses who appear before him, as best he is able. See, e.g., *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407; 231 NW2d 46 (1975); *Zeeland Farm Services, Inc v JBL Enterprises, Inc*, 219 Mich App 190, 195; 555 NW2d 733 (1996).

This Administrative Law Judge has carefully considered and weighed the testimony and other evidence in the record. The evidence in the record shows that when the Department mailed Claimant the verification checklist, it provided Claimant with specific instructions that the bank statements must include his name, bank name and current balance. The record contained a copy of a bank statement dated December 19, 2013. Although Claimant wrote his name on this document, his name is not indicated on the document at any other location. Moreover, the account number on the bank statement does not match the account number identified in the application. The Department extended the original due date from December 6, 2013 to December 26, 2013, but Claimant still did not provide the appropriate documentation in response to the verification checklist.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's MA application for failure to provide requested verifications.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

C. Adam Purnell

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 19, 2014

Date Mailed: February 20, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CAP/aca

CC:

