STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-21106 Issue No(s).: 1007; 3007

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

February 4, 2014 Shiawassee

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing wa sheld on February 4, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included AP Supervisor.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly Claimant from the FAP group?

sanction Claimant by cance eling FIP and removing

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On September 11, 2013, Claim ant received a Notice of Case Action indic ating that he FIP assistance would be t erminated effective October 1, 2013 and th at she would be removed from her FAP group from October 1, 2013 to July 31, 2014.
- 2. The Notic e provided that FIP must be closed for at least 6 months, from October 1, 2013 through March 31, 2014.
- The negative action occurred because the Department held that for the second time, Claimant or a group member failed to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency training or quit a job, was fi red, or reduced ho urs without good cause.
- 4. On or around September 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request and elected for benefits to continue pending her hearing.

- 5. A hearing was scheduled for October 31, 2013 at 8:30 a.m.
- 6. Claimant did not attend the hearing, and on Novemb er 1, 2013, an Order of Dismissal was entered.
- 7. Claimant received the order, which h ad c ontact information for the Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS), but she did not contact MAHS.
- 8. On December 19, 2013, Claimant received a Notice of Case Action removing her from the FA group from January 1, 2014 to July 31, 2014.
- 9. Claimant's FIP was cancelled after the dismissal order.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Depar tment (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MC L 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, the department did not err in removing Claimant from the FAP group and cancelling her FIP benefits. Claimant received the November 1, 2013 order of dismissal and had not appealed it. Claimant cannot colla terally attack the November 1, 2013 dismiaal with a new hearing request.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it cancelled FIP and remo ved Claimant from the FAP group.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

MICHAEL S. NEWELL

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Michael &. Newell

Date Signed: February 13, 2014

Date Mailed: February 13, 2014

NOTICE OF AP PEAL: The claimant may appeal the Dec ision and Order to Circu it Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MSN/las

