STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 201420833

 Issue No.:
 2002, 3002

 Case No.:
 Image: County Date:

 Hearing Date:
 February 4, 2014

 County:
 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

ISSUE

Whether the Department of Human Se rvices (Department) properly closed t he Claimant's Adult M edical Program (A MP) and F ood Ass istance Program (FAP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing Adult Medical Program (AMP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) recipient.
- 2. The Claimant reported changes to the composition of his hous ehold composition.
- 3. On December 2, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a Verific ation Checklist (DHS-3503) with a due dat e of December 12, 2013, and requested verification of the Claimant's gaming revenue.

- 4. On December 27, 2013, the Department notified the Claimant that it would close his Adult Medical Program (AMP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benef its as of February 1, 2014.
- 5. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on February 4, 2014, protesting the closur e of his Adult Medical Program (AMP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] i s established by the Food Stam p Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Adult Medical Pr ogram (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility. This includes the completion of necessary forms. Department of Human Services Bridges Assistance Manual (BAM) 105 (March 1, 2013), p 5. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. D epartment of Human Services Bri dges Assistance Manual (BAM) 130 (May 1, 2012), p 1. Verific ation is usually required at applic ation/redetermination and for a reported change affecting elig ibility or benefit level when it is required by policy, required as a local office option, or information regarding an el igibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete, or contr adictory. BAM 130. The Department uses documents, collateral contacts, or home calls to verify information. BAM 130. A collateral c ontact is a direct contact with a person, organization, or agency to verify information from the client. BAM 130. W hen documentation is not available, or clarification is needed, collateral contact may be necessary. BAM 130.

The Claimant was an ongoing Adult Medica I Progr am (AMP) and Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) recipient when he reported changes to the composition of his household composition. On December 2, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a Verification Checklist (DHS-3503) with a due date of December 12, 2013. The Department requested that the Claimant provide, among other thing, verification of his gaming revenue. On December 27, 2013, the Department had not received verification of the Claimant's gaming revenue, and notified the Claim ant that it would close his Adult Medical Program (AMP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as of February 1, 2014.

The Claim ant argued that he did not understand what was requested of him with respect to the gaming revenue.

This Administrative Law Judge finds t hat the Claimant had a duty to provide the Department with information nec essary to determine his eligibility to receive benefits.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing, the Department has established that it properly closed the Claimant's ben efits for failing to properly respond to the Department's request for verification of gaming revenue.

The Claimant questioned the Department's records that indicate a child in his household does not meet relationship requirements. The is Administrative Law Judge finds this information not be relevant to the clos ure of benefits, which was based on the Claimant's failure to fully respond to the December 2, 2013, verification checklist.

The Claimant and the members of his household are eligible to re-apply for benefits at any time, and bas ed on their r elationship, the Claimant may be eligible t o once ag ain receive the benefits he previously received, or may qualify for additional assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it closed the Claimant's Adult Medical Program (AMP) and Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kevin

Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 6, 2014

Date Mailed: February 6, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

201420833/KS

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

