STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 20141887 Issue No.: 2009; 4009 Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 6, 2014

County: Manistee County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 42 CFR 431.200 t o 431.250; and 45 CF R 205.10. After due notic e, a telephone c onference hearing was held on February 6, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Pa rticipants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Service's (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department of Hum an Services (Department) properly determine that the Claimant did not meet the di sability standard for Medical Assistance (MA-P) based on disability and State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On July 16, 2013, the Claimant submitted an application for Medica I Assistance (MA) and State Disability A ssistance (SDA) benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On September 6, 2013, the Medical Review Team (MRT) determined that the Claimant did not meet the disability standard for Medical As sistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) because it determined that she is capable of performing other work despite her impairments.
- 3. On September 16, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant notice that it had denied the application for assistance.
- 4. On September 26, 2013, the Department received the Claimant's hearing request, protesting the denial of disability benefits.

- 5. On November 9, 2013, the Stat e Hearing Review Team (SHRT) upheld the Medical Rev iew Team's (MRT) deni al of Medical A ssistance (MA-P) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) benefits.
- 6. The Claim ant applied for federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits at the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. The Social Security Administration (SSA) denied the Claimant's federal SSI) application a nd the Claimant Supplemental Security Income (reported that a SSI appeal is pending.
- 8. The Claimant is a 47-year-old woman whose birth date is



- 9. Claimant is 5' 9" tall and weighs 186 pounds.
- 10. The Claimant is a high school graduate. The Claimant is able to read and write and does have basic math skills.
- 11. The Claimant was not engaged in subst antial gainful activity at any time relevant to this matter.
- 12. The Claim ant has past relevant work experience as an office manager where she was required to or der supplies, manage scheduling, and perform office tasks, which is considered semiskilled work.
- 13. The Claim ant has the residual f unctional capacity to perform medium work.
- 14. The Claimant's disability claim is based on bipolar disorder and anxiety.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and a ppeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michig an are found in the Mic higan Administrative Code, Rule 400.901 - 400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because her claim for a ssistance has been denie d. Mich Admin Code, R 400.903. Clients have the right to contest a Depa rtment decision affecting eligibility or benefit le vels whenever it is believ ed that the decis ion is incorrect. The Department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. Department of Human Servic es Bridaes Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (July 1, 2013), pp 1-44.

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to Human Services (formerly known as the Family 1008.59. The Department of Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, was established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the

SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq. and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 – 400.3180. Department policie s are found in BAM, BEM, and RFT. A person is considered disabled for SDA purposes if the person has a phys ical or menta I impairment, which meets federal Sup plemental Security Income (SSI) disab ility standards for at least ninety days. Rece ipt of SSI benefits based on disab ility or blindness, or the receipt of MA benefits based on disability or blindness, automatically qualifies an individual as disabled for purposes of the SDA program.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435. 540, the Department uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance (SDA) programs. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental im pairment which c an be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order.

STEP 1

Does the client perform Substant ial Gainf ul Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is not disabled.

At step 1, a determination is made on whet her the Claimant is engaging in s ubstantial gainful activity (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that i nvolves doing signif icant physic all or mental activities (20 CFR 404.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gai nful work activity" is work that is usually done for pary or profit, whether or not a profit is realized (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416.972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employ ment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that she has demons trated the ability to engage in SGA (20 CFR 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, she is not disabled regardless of how severe his physical or mental impairments are and regar dless of his age, education, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

The Claimant is not engage d in substantial gainful activity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

STEP 2

Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is not disabled.

At step two, a determination is made whether the Claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a comb ination of impairments that is "severe" (20 CF R 404. I520(c) and 4l6.920(c)). An impai rment or combination of

20141887/KS

impairments is "severe" within the meaning of the regulations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work actitivities. An impairment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abnormalities that would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual 's ability to work (20 CF R 404.1521 and 416. 921. If the Claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments, she is not disabled. If the Claimant has a severe impairment or combination of impairments, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

The Claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that she has a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months, or result in death.

The Claim ant is a 47-year-old woman that is 5' 9" tall and weighs 186 pounds. The Claimant alleges disability due to bipolar disorder and anxiety.

The objective medical evidence indicates the following:

The Claimant has a history of inpatient psychiatric treatment in November of 2010.

A treating physician diagn osed the Claimant with bipolar dis order and found her to have mild symptoms but generally functions pretty well and is capable of meaningful interpersonal relationships. The Claimant has been diagnosed with cannabis abuse.

A social worker found the Claimant has serious sy mptoms and serious impairments in soc ial and occupationa I functioning. The Claimant was found to have moderate impairments of some social and occupational functioning.

The Claimant is capable of showering, dressing herself, and caring for her personal needs without assistance. The Claimant is capable of preparing meals and vacuuming. The Claimant's ability to sit is not significantly impaired and she is capable of walking a half mile at one time.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has es tablished a sever e physical impairment that has more than a de mi nimus effect on the Claimant's ability to perform work activities. The Claimant's impairments have lasted continuously, or are expected to last for twelve months.

STEP 3

Does the impairment appear on a special listi ng of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings spec ified for the listed im pairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4.

At step three, a determination is made whether the Claimant 's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of an

impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the Claimant's impairment or combination of impairments is of a severity to meet or medically equal the criteria of a listing and meets the duration requirem ent (20 CFR 404.1509 and 416.909), the Claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

The Claimant's impairment fail ed to meet the listing f or bipolar disorder under section 12.04 Affective dis orders, because the objective medical evidenc e does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from marked re strictions of his activities of daily living or social functioning. The objective medical ev idence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeated epis odes of decompensation or that he is unable to function outside a highly supportive livi ng arrangement. A treati ng physician found the Claimant to have mild symptoms but generally functions pretty well and is capable of meaningful interpersonal relationships.

The Claim ant's impairment failed to meet the listing for anxiety under sec tion 12.06 Anxiety-related disor ders, because the objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from marked re strictions of his activities of daily living or social functioning. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant suffers from repeated episodes of decompensation. The objective medical evidence does not demonstrate that the Claimant is completely unable to function outside his home. A treating physician found the Claimant to have mild symptoms but generally functions pretty well and is capable of meaningful interpersonal relationships.

The medical evidence of the Claimant's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in federal code of regula tions 20 CFR Part 404, Subpart P, Appendix 1.

STEP 4

Can the client do the former wo rk that she performed within t he last 15 years? If yes, the client is not disabled.

Before considering step four of the sequent ial evaluation process, a deter mination is made of the Claim ant's residual functional capacity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 4l6.920(c)). An individual's residual functional capacity is his ability to do physical and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his impairments. In making this finding, the undersigned must consider all of the Claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe (20 CFR 404. I520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, a determination is m ade on whether the Claimant has the residual function al capacity to perform the requirements of his past relevant work (20 CFR 404.I520(f) and 416.920(f)). The term past relevant work means work performed (either as the Claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the Claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to do his past relevant work, the Claimant is not

20141887/KS

disabled. If the Claim ant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

After careful consideration of the entire record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work as defined in 20 CFR 404.1567 and 416.967.

The Claimant has past relevant work experience working in an office environment. The Claimant's prior work fits the description of light work.

The Claimant has experience working in an office environment. The ev idence on the record supports a finding that the Claimant is physically capable of performing similar office work. The evidence on the record supports a finding that the Claimant's non-exertional impairments do not prevent her from performing office work in a work environment less demanding but substantially similar to her previous employment.

There is no evidence upon which this Administrative Law Judge could base a finding that the Claimant is unable to perform work substantially similar to work performed in the past.

STEP 5

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the Department to establish that the Claimant has the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) for Substantial Gainful Activity.

Does the client have the Res idual F unctional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Append ix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, client is not disabled.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g)), a determination is made whether the Claimant is able to do any other work considering his residual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the Claimant is able to do other work, she is not disabled. If the Claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirement, she is disabled.

The residual functional capacity is what an individual can do despite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, and heav y. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dict ionary of Occupational Titles, publis hed by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like dock et files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is define d as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walk ing and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walk ing and

standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of wa lking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involv es lifting n o more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we dete rmine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The objective medical evidence indicates that the Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior employment and that she is physically able to do less strenuous tasks if demanded of her. The Claimant's testimony as to her limitations indicates that she should be able to perform medium work.

The Claimant was able to answer all the questions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. The Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing.

Claimant is 47-years-old, a younger person, under age 50, with a high school education, and a history of semi-skilled wor k. Based on her prior work experience, the Claimant possesses skills that are transferrable to sk illed work. Based on the objective medica I evidence of record Claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform medium work, and Medical As sistance (MA) and State Disability Assistance (SDA) is denied using Vocational Rule 20 CFR 203.30 as a guide.

The Department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable diperson or age 65 or older. Despartment of Human Services Bridges Elig ibility Manual (BEM) 261 (July 1, 2013), pp 1-8. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that the Claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits either.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds Claimant \square disabled \boxtimes not disabled for purposes of the Medical Ass istance (M.A.) and State Dis ability Assistance (SDA) benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's determination is X AFFIRMED REVERSED.	
Kevin	Scully Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the De cision and Order or, i f a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a w rong conclusion:
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will no t review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be received in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

20141887/KS

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/hj

CC:

