STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-16201 3007

Issue No(s).:

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 30, 2014

Kalamazoo County:

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, at elephone hearing was held on January 3 0, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Recoupment specialist.

ISSUE

Did Claim ant receive an overissuance of program benefits that the Department is entitled to recoup?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant received benefits for: Food Assistance Program (FAP).
- The Department determined that Claim ant received a FAP overissuance in the 2. during the period of May 1, 2012, through October 31, 2012.
- 3. The overissuance was due to Department error.
- 4. On February 15, 2012, Claimant began working at
- 5. On March 1, 2013, the Department received Claimant 's Redetermination form, which stated that Claimant was working at temporarily and that her job would end on February 29, 2012.

- 6. A couple of weeks aft er Claimant's temporary work with employer called Claimant back to work.
- 7. The Department incorrectly calculated the Claimant's benefits without the earnings information.
- 8. Accordingly, from May 1, 2012 the rough October 31, 2012, Claimant was over issued \$ in FAP benefits, to be recouraged at 10 % from future benefit s.. (See Exhibits 1 and 2).
- 9. The Department sent Claim ant a Notice of Over Issu ance on or around November 15, 2013.
- 10. On or around November 19, 2013, the Department re ceived Claimant's hearing request.
- 11. Claimant disputes the recoupment by the Department but is not disputing the amount of over issuance by the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, The Department did not err in determining the over issuance and requiring recoupment. Claimant does not dispute the amount, and the recoupment is required by BAM 700 and 705. Thus, the Department's action in this case is consistent with policy.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, the Administra tive Law Judge concludes that Claimant did receive an overissuance for FAP benefits in which the Department presently seek secoupment.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's action seeking recoupment is: **AFFIRMED**.

MICHAEL S. NEWELL

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Michael & Newell

Date Signed: February 10, 2014

Date Mailed: February 10, 2014

NOTICE OF AP PEAL: The claimant may appeal the Dec ision and Order to Circu it Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly disc overed evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MSN/las

