STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-16176 Issue No(s).: 2001 Case No.: Hearing Date: Tuscola County:

February 19, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Darryl T. Johnson

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 19, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan, Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant's Des ignated Hearing Representative Participan ts on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialis

ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's application for Medicaid (MA) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- a single woman, applied for MA on October 31, 2013, seeking 1. Claimant. retroactive MA for the months of July, August, September, and October 2013.
- 2. In response to a verification checklist, Claimant submitted copies of portions of his bank statements.
- Because Claimant's bank statements showed assets in excess of the allowable 3. limits, Claimant's applicat ion was denied in a Notice of Cas e Action dated November 22, 2013. (Exhibit 1 Pages 22-29)
- Claimant requested a hearing on November 26, 2013. 4.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Claimant submitted portions of bank statements showing that he had more t han the final checking account during the months of May through October 2013. Per BEM 400, p. 7, the MA limit is \$2,000 f or an indivi dual, and \$3,000 for a couple. Claimant's cash in the bank is clearly in excess of the allowable limits for MA.

Claimant's representative test ified that the money had accumulated in her checking account so they could purc hase a pre-paid funeral plan , and that one was purchased with a **set of** payment in Janu ary 2014. While a pre- paid funeral plan is an ex cluded asset when it is purc hased, money that is being saved for the purchase is included in determining eligibility until the purchase is made.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it denied Claimant's application for FAP and MA.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Darryl T. Johnson Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 20, 2014

Date Mailed: February 20, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsiderati on was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

