STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2Issue No.:6Case No.:6Hearing Date:6County:6

201414793 6001

February 12, 2014 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's r equest for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 12, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Services (Departm ent) properly denied the Claimant's request for Child Developmen t and Care (CDC) benefits due to exces s income?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant requested Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.
- 2. The Claimant receives monthly earned in come in the gross monthly amount of
- 3. On November 7, 2013, t he Department notified the Claimant that it had denied her request for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.
- 4. The Department received the Claimant 's request for a hearing on February 12, 2014, protesting the denial of Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Child Development and Car e (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 t o 9858q; and

201414793/KS

the Personal Respons ibility and Work Opportunity Reconcilia tion Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services t o adults and childre n pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

All earned and unearned income available to the Claimant is countable. Earned income means income received from another person or organization or from self-employment for duties for duties that were performed for r compensation or profit. Unearned income means all income that is not earned, including but not limited to funds received from the Family Independenc e Program (FIP), State Dis ability Ass istance (SDA), Child Development and Ca re (CDC), Medicaid (MA), Social Security Benefits (RSDI/SSI), Veterans Administration (VA), Unemploy ment Compensation Benef its (UCB), Adult Medical Pr ogram (AMA), alimony, and child support payments. The amount counted may before than the client actually receives because the gross amount is used prior to any deductions. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 500 (July 1, 2013).

All income is converted to a standard monthly amount. If the client is paid weekly, the Department multiplies the average weekly amount by 4.3. If the client is paid ever y other week, the Department multiplies the average bi-w eekly amount by 2.15. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505 (July 1, 2013), pp 6-7.

The Claim ant requested Child Developm ent and Care (CDC) benef its from the Department. The Claimant receives mont hly earned income in the gross amount of which was determined by taking the average of her paychecks within a 30 day period and multiplying the result by the mont hly conversion factor of 2.15. The inc ome limit to receive Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits is \$

On Novem ber 7, 2013, the Department not ified the Claim ant that it had denied her request for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.

The Claimant testified that the her casework er informed her that she would be eligible for Child Developme nt and Ca re (CDC) benef its a nd that she should wait for her application to be further processed. The Claimant argued that poor communication by the Department caused her to incur child care expenses that she is now responsible for, and that she would have done things differently if she had been given clear information.

Clients have the right to cont est a department decis ion affect ing eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The Department will provide an adminis trative hearing to review the de cision and determine the appropriateness. The Michigan Adminis trative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may grant a hearing for any of the following:

MAHS may grant a hearing about any of the following:

- Denial of an application and/or supplemental payments.
- Reduction in the amount of program benefits or service.

201414793/KS

- Suspension or termination of program benefits or service.
- Restrictions under which benefits or services are provided.
- Delay of any action beyond standards of promptness.
- For FAP only, the current level of benefits or denial of expedited service. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 600 (July 1, 2013), p 4.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Department was acting in acc ordance with policy when it denied the Claim ant's request for Child Develo pment and Care (CDC) benefits due to excess income. It is not within this jurisdiction of this Administrative Law Judge to manage the Department's communica tions and it is beyond the authority of this Administrative Law Judge to order t he Department to make an e xception to its policies based on a misunderstanding.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it deni ed the Claimant's reques t for Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Kevin

Scully Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 18, 2014

Date Mailed: February 18, 2014

NOTICE OF APP EAL: The claimant may appea I the Dec ision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

