# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-13681

Issue No(s).: 2002

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 29, 2014

County: Bay

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael S. Newell

### HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on January 2 9, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included Claimant and behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

### ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's MA case for failure to verify?

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 23, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a verification checklist with a due date of November 4, 2013.
- Claimant did not respond timely.
- 3. On November 12, 2013, the Depar tment closed Claimant 's MA benefits, effective December 1, 1013 for failure to timely verify.
- 4. The Department received Claimant's hearing request on November 20, 2013.
- 5. A hearing was set for January 15, 2014.
- 6. On January 7, 2014, Claimant r equested adjournment, and the case was adjourned to January 29, 2014.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the Department did not err in closing Claimant's MA benefits. Claimant testified that she received the checklist near the end of October and did not know when she responded. Claimant testified that her husband was in the hospital near the end of October but she did not know the dates of this or provide documentation in this regard during the hearing. The evidence does not support a finding that Claimant was unable to comply with the Department's request for information.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's MA benefits for failure to verify.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Michael S. Newell Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Michael &. Newell

Date Signed: February 5, 2014

Date Mailed: February 6, 2014

**NOTICE OF AP PEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Dec ision and Order to Circu it Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, i f a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the or iginal hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the clai mant must specify all reas ons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

### MSN/las

CC:

