STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



No.: 2014-8516 Issue No(s).: 5002;6000

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 15, 2014

County: Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 15, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Specialist and Assistance Payment Supervisor.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's State Emergency Relief (SER) benefits and Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On an unverified date, Claimant submitted an application for SER assistance with rent to prevent eviction.
- 2. On September 20, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a SER Decision Notice informing her that her application had been denied on the basis that she failed to verify or allow the Department to verify information necessary to determine eligibility for SER. (Exhibit 1)
- 3. On an unverified date, Claimant submitted an application for CDC benefits.
- 4. On October 17, 2013, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the denial of her SER application and requesting that the Department process her CDC application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

CDC

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

The hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to Claimant's CDC application. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, Claimant testified that she understands and is satisfied with the actions taken by the Department and that she no longer had any issues to address with respect to her CDC application. Claimant further confirmed that she did not wish to proceed with the hearing concerning her CDC application. The Request for Hearing was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the hearing request. Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing regarding the CDC is hereby **DISMISSED**.

SER

The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The SER program is administered by the Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) pursuant to MCL 400.10 and by Mich Admin Code, R 400.7001 through R 400.7049.

SER assists individuals and families to resolve or prevent homelessness by providing money for rent, security deposits, and moving expenses. ERM 303 (March 2013), p.1. An individual will be eligible for SER if a court summons, order, or judgment was issued which will result in the SER group becoming homeless. A demand for possession non-payment of rent or a notice to quit is not sufficient. ERM 303, pp. 3, 5-6.

Additionally, clients must be informed of all verifications that are required and where to return verifications. The Department will send a SER Verification Checklist to request verifications and to notify the client of the due date for returning the verifications. The due date is eight calendar days beginning with the date of application. If the application is not processed on the application date, the deadline to return verification is eight calendar days from the date verification is requested. This does not change the standard of promptness date. ERM 103 (March 2013), pp.6-7.

The client must make a reasonable effort to obtain required verifications. The specialist must assist if the applicant needs and requests help. If neither the client nor the specialist can obtain the verifications despite a reasonable effort, use the best available information. If no evidence is available, the specialist must use their best judgment. ERM 103, pp.6-7.

At the hearing, the Department testified that with her application, Claimant submitted a demand for possession, a notice of quit and a complaint for termination of tenancy. (Exhibit 2). The Department initially stated that Claimant's SER application was denied because she did not submit a court ordered eviction notice. The Department sent Claimant a SER Decision Notice informing her of the denial on September 20, 2013. (Exhibit 1). A review of the SER Decision Notice establishes however, that Claimant's application was actually denied on the basis that she failed to verify requested information. The Department confirmed that it did not send Claimant a SER VCL informing her of what verifications were required and where to return them.

Claimant testified that she had a conversation with her Department case worker prior to receiving the SER Decision Notice denying the application and was verbally informed that she needed to submit a court ordered eviction notice. Claimant credibly testified that she dropped off the court ordered eviction notice to the Department local office and signed her name to the log. The sign in logs were reviewed at the hearing and it was established that Claimant's name appeared on the log for September 9, 2013 and that the program she was submitting documents for was SER.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that after further review of the evidence, the Department failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's SER application based on a failure to verify requested information.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, Claimant's hearing request with respect to CDC is DISMISSED and the Department's SER decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- Register and process Claimant's application for SER;
- 2. Determine Claimant's eligibility for SER assistance as of the application date; and

3. Issue a new SER Decision Notice informing Claimant of the Department's decision.

Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Laurab Kaybour

Date Signed: February 6, 2014
Date Mailed: February , 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

cc:

ZB/tm