

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
██████████████████
██████████████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2014-8143
Issue No(s): 1010
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: January 15, 2014
County: Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Upon Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37, and Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), particularly 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 15, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and her daughter, ██████████, who also served as translator. Participants on behalf of the Department included ██████████ ██████████ Assistance Payment Supervisor and ██████████, Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly determine that Claimant has exceeded the State 48-month lifetime limit on Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant received applied for FIP benefits.
2. On October 10, 2013, the Department notified Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) that the FIP case would close the FIP application was denied_because Claimant had exceeded the 48-month State lifetime limit on receipt of FIP assistance.
3. On October 17, 2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR) filed a request for hearing, disputing the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 [PL 104-193] and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The FIP benefit program is not an entitlement. BEM 234 (July 2013), p. 1. Time limits are essential to establishing the temporary nature of aid as well as communicating the FIP philosophy to support a family's movement to self-sufficiency. BEM 234, p. 1. Effective October 1, 2011, BEM 234 restricts the total cumulative months that an individual may receive FIP benefits to a lifetime limit of 48 months for State-funded FIP cases for which no months were exempt. BEM 234, p. 1.

The 48-month lifetime limit for State-funded FIP cases allows exemption months in which an individual does not receive a count towards the individual's 48-month lifetime limit. BEM 234, p. 3. Exemption months are months the individual is deferred from the Partnership.Accountability.Training.Hope. (PATH) program for (i) domestic violence; (ii) being 65 years of age or older; (iii) a verified disability or long-term incapacity lasting longer than 90 days (including establishing incapacity); or (iv) being a spouse or parent who provides care for a spouse or child with verified disabilities living in the home. BEM 234, pp 3-4. FIP benefits received prior to October 1, 2006, are **not** State-funded. BEM 234, pp. 2-3. Once an individual reaches a FIP time limit and the FIP closes, the individual is not eligible for FIP if the individual reapplies and meets an exemption criteria. BEM 234, p. 7.

In the present case, Claimant submitted an application for FIP benefits on October 7, 2013. The Department testified that on October 10, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that her application for FIP benefits was denied on the basis that as of December 1, 2012, Claimant had reached the 48 month lifetime limit on the receipt of FIP benefits. (Exhibit 1).

At the hearing, Claimant acknowledged that she understood the law and did not dispute the Department's testimony that she had reached the 48 month lifetime limit for receipt of FIP benefits. Claimant also did not present any evidence to indicate that she was eligible for an exemption to the time limits.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did act in accordance with Department policy when it denied Claimant's FIP case on the basis that Claimant had exceeded the state time limit for receipt of such benefits.

A further review of Claimant's hearing request establishes that Claimant indicated that she was the caretaker of her husband, who is disabled. Claimant is advised that she may submit an application for cash assistance under the State Disability Assistance program and have her eligibility reviewed.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's FIP eligibility decision is **AFFIRMED**.



Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 6, 2014

Date Mailed: February 6, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2014-8143/ZB

ZB/tm

cc:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]