#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-23993 Issue No(s).: Case No.: Hearing Date: February 20, 2014 County:

2001, 3001

Eaton County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 20, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of H uman Servic es (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

# ISSUE

Did the Department properly deny Claimant application for Medical Assistance (MA) and Food Assistance Program (FAP ) benefits?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 23, 2013, Claimant applied for MA and FAP and Claimant indicated he was not disabled.
- 2. On October 4, 2013, a Noti ce of Case Action was iss ued to Claimant stating: the MA application was denied because ther e was the Adult Medic al Program (AMP) was closed to new enrollment and was Cla imant was not eligible for Medicaid because he was not blind, disabled, pregnant, or met age requir ements; and the FAP applic ation was denied bec ause resident s in an eligible Adult Foster Care home must be disabled or a veteran.
- 3. On January 17, 2014, Claimant filed requ est for hearing request contesting the Department's actions.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Pr ogram (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.

Medicaid is available to individuals ov er age 65, disabled, under 21, pregnant, or parent/caretaker relative of a dependent child. BEM 110, 125, 126, 135, 240, and 260.

For AMP, applications received during a freeze on AMP enrollments must be registered and are to be denied using "applicant did not m eet other eligibility requirements" as the denial reason. Applicants must be informed t hat the reason for d enial is an enrollment freeze. BEM 640

On the October 23, 2013 applic ation, Claimant marked that he was not physically or mentally unable to work full time. (Exhibit A, page 8) Claimant list ed a date of birth of July 11, 1978. (Exhibit A, page 5) Accordingly at age 35, Claimant was not over age 65 or under age 21. Claimant is a male and was not pregnan t. (Exhibit A, page 5) Claimant reported no hous ehold members under age 22. (Exhib it A, page 6) Accordingly, Claimant was not eligible for MA because he was not over age 65. disabled, under 21, pregnant, or parent/caretaker relative of a dependent child based on the information provided for the October 23, 2013 MA application. Further, because there was a freeze on AMP enro Ilments, eligibility c ould not be consider ed for that program. A ccordingly, the D epartment's determination to deny the October 23, 2013 MA application must be upheld.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 US C 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

A resident in an eligible AF C home mu st be disabled or veteran per BEM 550 Senior/Disabled/Veteran policy. BEM 617.

It was uncontested that Claim ant resided in an AFC. On the October 23, 2013 application, Claimant reported he had not served in the military or armed services. (Exhibit A, page 12) As not ed above, Claimant also indicated that he was not disabled on the October 23, 2013 application. (Exhibit A, page 8) Additionally, the Department's Medical Review Team had just issued a determination on September 8, 2013 that t Claimant was not disabled for a prior benefits application. (E xhibit A, pages 18-19) Accordingly, the Department's determination to deny t he FAP application must be upheld because Claimant was not disabled or a veteran.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department po licy when it denied Claimant's October 23, 2013 application for MA and FAP benefits.

#### DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Mein Fe

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 28, 2014

Date Mailed: February 28, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Deci sion and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

