STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:2014-2Issue No(s).:3002,Case No.:Issue No(s)Hearing Date:FebruarCounty:Genesity

2014-22884 3002, 5000

February 12, 2014 Genesee County DHS #02

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on F ebruary 12, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included for the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Depar tment of Human Services (Department) included for the Department of Human Services (D

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based on a failure to comply with verification requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was a recipient of FAP benefits.
- 2. On November 1, 2013, a Verification C hecklist was is sued to the Claimant with a due date of November 12, 2013 requesting the Claimant contact the Department immediately with a current address or phone number.
- 3. On November 13, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was issued to the Claimant stating the FAP case would close effective December 1, 2013 because verification of unable to locate household was not returned.

4. On January 14, 2013, the Claimant f iled a request for hearing contesting the Department's action¹.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 197 7, as amended, 7 US C 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations c ontained in 7 CFR 271. 1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, a Claimant must cooperate wit h the local office in determining initia I and ongoing eligibility, including c ompletion of necessary forms, and must completely an d truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon applic ation or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. The Department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. The client must obtain require d verification, but the Department must assist if the client needs and requests help. If neither the client nor the Department can obtain verification. If no evidence is available, the Department worker is to use their best judgment. The Depart tment is to s end a case action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elaps ed and the client has not made a reasonable effort to provide it. BAM 130.

For FAP, if the client cont acts the Department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, the Department must assist them with the verifications but not grant an extens ion. The Department worker must explain to the client they will not be given an extens ion and their case will be denied once the due date is pas sed. Also, the Department worker s hall explain their elig ibility and it will b e determined based on their compliance date if they return required verifications. BAM 130.

¹ On the January 14, 2013 request for hearing, the Claimant also stated she was denied twice for State Emergency Relief (SER), and noted how long it took for the check to be printed for the approved third SER application. However, the Claimant confirmed there is no longer any contested issue with SER. Accordingly, the SER portion of the Claimant's request for hearing is dismissed.

The Eligibility Specialist testified that during the months of June 2013 and November 2013, mail sent to the Claimant was returned as undeliverable.

On November 1, 2013, a Verific ation Checklist was is sued to the Claimant with a due date of November 12, 2013 requesting t he Claimant contact the Department immediately with a cu rrent address or phone number. T he Claimant credibly testified that she never received the Verification Che cklist. The Claimant not ed that she did not move to the address the Nove mber 1, 2013 Verification Check list was sent to until November 8, 2013. Further, the apartment number was not included in the address. The Claimant explained that at the time the Department approved her State Emergency Relief (SER) application for the deposit and first month's rent, the address was known but not the apartment number. Accordingly, it appears that the Department changed the Claimant's addr ess in their comput er system when the SER approval was processed, but before the Claimant actually moved in to the new apartment. Further, the Claimant noted her phone number never changed and the Department never attempted to call her.

The Department's determination to close the Claimant's FAP case based on a failure to comply with verification requirements cannot be upheld. The Verificati on Check list requested the Claimant contact the Departm ent immediately with a current address or phone number. However, the Department was aware of the Claimant's move at the time the November 1, 2013 Verification C hecklist was issued. Spec ifically, the Department approved the Claim ant's SER application for the deposit and first month's rent. Further, despite the apartment number being unknown at the time of the SER approval, the Department updat ed the Claimant's address in their computer syste m. This was also before the Claimant actually moved into the apartment. Accordingly, the November 1, 2013 Verification Checklist was sent to an address the Claimant did not vet live at and was missing the apartment number. This suppor t's the Claimant's credible testimony that she never received the Verification Checklist.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in acc ordance with Department polic y when it closed the Claimant's FAP cas e based on a failure to comply with verification requirements.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DE PARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING TH E FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WIT H DE PARTMENT P OLICY AND CONSIS TENT WIT H THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Re-instate the Claimant's FAP case retroactive to the Dec ember 1, 2013 effective date, and re-determine eligibility in accordance with Department policy.

2. Issue the Claimant any supplement she may thereafter be due.

Collain Feed

Colleen Lack Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 18, 2014

Date Mailed: February 18, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Deci sion and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt d ate of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

201422884/CL

CL/hj

