## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN  | TI | 46 | MA   | ТТ           | $\sim$   | Æ.  |
|-----|----|----|------|--------------|----------|-----|
| 117 |    | 16 | 1417 | <b>\ I  </b> | <b>`</b> | 'n. |

|   |   | Reg. No.:<br>Issue No.:<br>Case No.:<br>Hearing Date:<br>County: | 2014-22511<br>1038<br>February 12, 2014<br>Macomb #20  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEARING DECISION  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 12, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and the Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u>ISSUE</u>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Did the Department properly ⊠ close Claimant's case for:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?      | ☐ Child Developme☐ Direct Support Se                             | State Disability Assistance (SDA)?<br>Child Development and Care (CDC)?<br>Direct Support Services (DSS)?<br>State SSI Payments (SSP)? |  |  |  |  |
| FINDINGS OF FACT  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  | Claimant ⊠ received: ⊠ FIP benefits.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.  | On January 1, 2014, the Department $\boxtimes$ closed Claimant's case due to non-compliance with employment related activities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.  | On November 26, 2013, the Department se   | ent Claimant its deci  | sion.  |  |  |  |  |

4. On January 13, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

pursuant to MCL 400.10.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105. The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10. ☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180. The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858g; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020. Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603. ☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.2001-.2099

and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program

In this case, the Department alleges that the Claimant was in non-compliance with employment related activities because she submitted. The Claimant did not contest this testimony rather, by way of explanation, she testified that she did submit and explained to her worker at the time that she submitted them that they were inaccurate. She said she did this due to her language difficulties. The Administrative Law Judge asked the Claimant exactly how her language difficulties prevented her from legitimately conducting a job search when it did not prevent her from a language difficulty. The Claimant's testimony that her language difficulty prevented her from job searching is found to be less than credible, because it certainly did not prevent her from

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2012), pp. 8, 9, provide that the DHS-2444 Notice of Non-compliance state the date/dates of the Claimant's non-compliance and the reason why the Claimant was determined to be non-compliant. In this case, the DHS-2444, Notice of non-compliance, sent November 26, 2013, gives the Claimant notice that she was non-compliant on November 26, 2013 because of "no participation in required activity." That notice scheduled a triage meeting for December 5, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly determined that the Claimant had no good cause for her non-compliance.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2013) p. 6, provides that the penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP case closure. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FIP case, the Department was acting in accordance with its policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it took action to close the Claimant's FIP case.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\boxtimes$  AFFIRMED.

Susanne E. Harris

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susanne E Hanis

Date Signed: 2/19/14

Date Mailed: 2/19/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## SEH/tb

