STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

ı	N	П	П			٨	A I	۷.	г	ΓΕ	Р)			
ı	IV			п	_	- 11	11 /	•					_	•	_

Reg. No.: 2014-22480 Issue No.: 3001; 2000; 4000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 12, 2014

County: Macomb 36

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 12, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included her Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR), Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist (ES),

ISSUE

Did the Department properly	🛛 deny	Claimant's	application	for:
-----------------------------	--------	------------	-------------	------

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	
Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Direct Support Services (DSS)? ☐ State SSI Payments (SSP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant ⊠ applied for: ⊠ FAP ⊠ MA ⊠ SDA benefits.
- There is no DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in evidence. At some point in time, the Department
 ☐ denied Claimant's application due to excess assets for MA and SDA and due to excess income for FAP.

- 3. There is no DHS-1605, Notice of Case Action in evidence. Per the Department's hearing summary, on November 19, 2013, the Department sent Claimant its decision.
- 4. On January 14, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO WITHDRAWAL OF HEARING REQUEST AT HEARING

The hearing was requested to dispute the Department's action taken with respect to the Medical Assistance, State Disability Assistance and Food Assistance Program benefits. Shortly after commencement of the hearing, the Claimant's AHR testified that he was no longer protesting the actions taken by the Department regarding the Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance determinations. He did therefore not wish to proceed with the hearing on those issues. The Request for Hearing was withdrawn. The Department agreed to the dismissal of the hearing request.

Pursuant to the withdrawal of the hearing request filed in this matter, the Request for Hearing is hereby **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

400.105.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT). The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131. The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015. The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL

☐ The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.50015020.
☐ Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.
☐ The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.20012099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.
Additionally, Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 505 (2013) p. 1, provides that a group's benefits for a month are based, in part, on a prospective income determination. A best estimate of income expected to be received by the group during a specific month is determined and used in the budget computation. Furthermore, BEM 554 (2013) provides that certain medical expenses and shelter expenses are allowable in a FAP budget. This includes expenses of a was not allowed for deduction in the budget. Furthermore, the Claimant's AHR testified that the Claimant has many medical expenses which were also not allowed in the FAP budget. Lastly, a close review of the record revealed that not all of the Claimant's was counted. As such, the Administrative Law Judge determines that the Department was not acting in accordance with its policy when it took action to deny the Claimant's application for FAP due to excess income.
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department \boxtimes did not act in accordance with Department policy when it Took Action to Deny the Claimant's FAP application.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes **REVERSED**.

- ☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
 - 1. Re-determine the Claimant's eligibility for FAP back to October 1, 2013, and
 - 2. Issue the Claimant any supplement that she may thereafter be due.

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Susanne E Hanis

Date Signed: <u>2/14/19</u>

Date Mailed: 2/19/14

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/tb

