STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2014 22044

 Issue Nos.:
 1008, 3008

 Case No.:
 Issue

 Hearing Date:
 February 13, 2014

 County:
 Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Following a request for hearing this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pur suant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on February 13, 2014. Claimant appeared and test ified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es ("Departm ent") included **February**, Family Independence Specialist

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's Family Independence Program (FIP) case due to a failure to participate in employment and/or self sufficiency-related activities without good cause?

Did the Department properly reduce the Claimant's Food Assistance (FAP) benefits due to rent no longer being paid?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing rec ipient of FIP. The Department closed the Claimant's FIP case after the Claimant did not attend the PATH Program Orientation.

2014-22044/LMF

- 2. The Department did not provide a notice of case action for the FIP case closure.
- 3. The Claimant was sent a PATH Appointment Noti ce on October 2, 2013 and a Notice of Non Compliance on O ctober 21, 2013. The Claimant did not re ceive either notice as the Claim ant was having pr oblems with receiving her mail. The Claimant moved from the address where the notices were sent because the home was foreclosed on or about October 25, 2013.
- 4. The Claimant did provide a change of address to the Department on October 24, 2013.
- 5. The Department reduced the Claimant's FAP benefits on January 1, 2014 based upon the Claimant advising t he Department that she was no longer paying rent and was living with her aunt.
- 6. The Department issued a Notic e of Case Action on December 7, 2013 which decreased the Claimant's FAP benefits bas ed upon a reduction of her Shelter obligation. Exhibit 1.
- 7. The Department closed the Claimant's FIP Cash Assistance benefits for failure to comply with the PAT H Program participation requirements. A second sanction was imposed for no good cause and the FIP case was closed for 6 months.
- 8. The record did not establish whether the Claimant was also rem oved as a FAP group member due to non-participation with the PATH program.
- 9. It was not established whether a tri age was conducted on October 28, 2013 as no one who attended the triage was present at the hearing.
- 10. On January 2, 2014 the Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing disputing the closure of his FIP case, the FAP benefits reduction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Referenc e Tables Manual (RFT).

FIP

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 t hrough R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

As a condition of FIP eligib ility, all Work Eligible In dividuals ("WEI") must engage in employment and/or s elf-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A (January 2013), p. 1. The WEI can be considered n oncompliant for several reasons inc luding: failing or refusing to appear and participate with t he work participation program or other employment service provider, failing or refusing to appear for a s cheduled appointment or meeting related to assigne d activities , and failing or refusing to participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities, among other things. BEM 233A, pp 1-2. Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related on factors that are bey ond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A, pp. 3, 4.

Good cause includes any of the following: the client is employed for 40 hours/week, the client is p hysically or mentally u nfit for the job, the client has a debilitating illness or injury or a spouse or child's illness or inju ry requires in-home care by the client, the Department, employment service provider, contractor, agency or employer failed to make a reasonab le accommodation for the clie nt's disab ility, no child care, no transportation, the employment involves il legal activities, the client exp eriences discrimination, an unplanned ev ent or factor likely prev enting or interfering with employment, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. BEM 233A, p. 4. A WEI who fails, without good cause, to partici pate in employment or self-sufficiencyrelated activities, must be penalized. BEM 233A, p.1.

In processing a FIP c losure, the Department is required to send the client a notice of noncompliance, which must in clude the date(s) of the noncompliance; the r eason the client was determined to be noncompliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A. p.8-9. Pursuant to BAM 220, a Notice of Case Ac tion must also be sent which provides the reason(s) for the action. BAM 220 (November 2012), p. 9. Work participation program participants will not be terminat ed from a work participat ion program without first scheduling a triage m eeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A, p. 7. A triage mu st be conducted and good caus e must be considered even if the client does not attend. BEM 233A, pp.7-8 Clients must comply with triage requirements and prov ide good cause verification within the negative action period. BEM 233A, p. 7.

Good cause is based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. BEM 233A, p. 8. The first occurrence e of non-compliance without good cause results in FIP closure for not less than three calendar months; the second occurrence results in closure for not less than six months; and a third occurrence results in a FIP lifetime sanction. BEM 233A, p. 6.

In this case, Claimant credibly testified t hat she did not receive the PATH appointment Notice or the Notice of Non Compliance. The Claimant also credibly testified that she was having problems with her mail and complained to the post office. It w as also not established that the Department held a triage. The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovic h*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Autom obile Inter-* *Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). Base d upon the Claimant's testimony that she did not receive the two notices and that she was having problems with her mail, it is determined that the Claimant did not receive the notices and thus had no notice that she had to attend the PAT H or ientation. Based upon the re cord as a whole, it is determined that the Department did not meet its burden of proof to establis h non-compliance with PAT H participation and also did not establish t hat a triage was held. Accordingly, it is found and determined that the Department did not act in accordance e with Department policy when it terminat ed Claimant's FIP benef its and imposed a s ix month penalty.

FAP

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder al Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Fam ily Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rule 400.3001-3015.

In this case the testi mony of the parties was considered and it is determined that the Department properly decreased the Claimant's food assistance benefits based upon the Claimant's oral statement to the Department that she was no longer paying rent.

It could not be determined based upon the evidence presented whether the Department further decreased the FAP benefits for PATH Participation non-compliance as no Notice of Case Action regar ding its ac tions with regard to the PATH non-compliance was presented. Thus it is determined that, if the Department also re duced the Claimant's FAP benefits by removing her from her FAP group due to PAT H non-compliance, the further reduction is not correct as it was determined that the FIP closure due to non-compliance with PAT H participation was no t upheld and thus the Cl aimant must be returned to her FAP group.

The Claimant may provide a shel ter verification to the Depart ment, as she testified that she is now currently paying rent again, but had not advised the Department of that fact until the hearing.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the r ecord, finds that the D epartment did not ac t in acc ordance with Department policy w hen it terminated Claimant's F IP benefits, imposed a six month sanction and did meet it s burden of proof regarding the status of Claimant's FAP benefits. Accordingly, the Department's FIP decision is REVERSED.

The Department decision and action regard ing the FAP reduction due to shelter deduction change is upheld and AFFIRMED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Remove the second s anction that was imposed on Claimant's case due to noncompliance with the PATH Program participation requirements ;
- 2. Initiate reinstatement of Claimant's FIP case effective as of the closure date. consistent with this Hearing Decision;
- 3. Determine whether t he Claimant's FAP eligibility was further affected by the Department's decision to close t he Claimant's FIP benefits for non-compliance with the PATH partic ipation requirements by Claimant's removal from her FAP group, and if so reinst ate the Claimant to her FAP group and issue a FAP supplement for FAP benefits she was ot herwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.
- 4. Begin issuing supplements to C laimant for any FIP benefits and FAP benefits if any, that Claimant was entitled to receive but did not receive as a result of the Department's action f inding non-complianc e with PATH progra m participation requirements.

Lynn M. Ferris
 Administrative Law Judge
 for Maura Corrigan, Director
 Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision, :
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

