STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-20297 Issue No(s).: 3002, 3003 Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 29, 2014

County: Macomb County DHS #12

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen Lack

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a t elephone hearing was held on January 2 9, 2014, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant include d mother. Participant is on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligibility Specialist.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department properly close the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) case based on a failure to comply with verification requirements?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant's FAP case was due for Redetermination in October 2013.
- 2. On September 16, 2013, a Redetermination form was sent to the Claimant with a due date of October 4, 2013 for returning the completed form with proofs.
- 3. On October 9, 2013, a Verification Check list was sent to the Claimant stating what verifications were needed by the October 21, 2013 due date.
- 4. On October 28, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was sent to the Claimant stating the FAP c ase would close effective Nov ember 1, 2013, because the requested verifications were not provided.

5. On December 17, 2013, the Claimant filed a reques t for hearing contesting the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271. It to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Additionally, a Claimant must cooperate wit high the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility, including completion of necessary forms, and must completely and truthfully answer all questions on forms and in interviews. BAM 105.

Verification is usually required upon application or redetermination and for a reported change affecting eligibility or benefit level as well as when information regarding an eligibility factor is unclear, inconsistent, incomplete or contradictory. Verifications are considered timely if received by the date they are due. The Department must allow a client 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification. The Department worker must tell the client what verification is required, how to obtain it, and the due date. The client must obtain required verification, but the Department must assist if the client needs and requests help. If neither the client nor the Depart ment can obtain verification despite a reasonable effort, the Department worker should use the best available information. If no evidence is available, the Department worker is to use their best judgment. The Department is to send a case action notice when the client indicates refusal to provide a verification, or the time period given has elapsed. BAM 130.

At redetermination, FAP clients have until the last day of the redetermination month or 10 days, whichever is later, to provide verification. BAM 130

For FAP, if the client cont acts the Department prior to the due date requesting an extension or assistance in obtaining verifications, the Department must assist them with the verifications but not grant an extens ion. The Department worker must explain to the client they will not be given an extens ion and their case will be denied once the due date is pas sed. Also, the Department worker shall explain their eligibility and it will be determined based on their compliance date if they return required verifications. BAM 130.

Benefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is certified. A redetermination packet is considered complete when all of the sections of the redet ermination form including the signature section are completed. If a client files an application for redetermination before the end of the benefit period, but fails to take a required action, the case is denied at the end of the benefit period. BAM 210.

On September 16, 2013, a Redetermination fo rm was sent to the Claimant with a due date of October 4, 2013 for returning the completed form with proofs. (Exhibit 4) The Eligibility Special list testified that the Claimant returned the required Redetermination form and an interview was completed. The Claimant reported her live-in partner works at Rivercrest Arms A partments and gets paid we ekly. (Exhibit 4) In processing the Redetermination, the system showed a second employer, John's Lumber, which was not reported. (Exhibit 3)

Accordingly, on October 9, 2013, a Verifica tion Checklist was sent to the Claimant stating what verifications were needed by the October 21, 2013, due date. Specifically, were requested. The comments section wage and loss of employment verifications indicated that if the Claimant's live-in partner was no longer work ing for John's Lumber. they needed to complete the enclosed form indicating his last gross pay date and amount. The comments sectio in also indicated his. October 8, 2013 check stub was needed from Rivercrest Arms Apar tments. (Exhibit 2) The El igibility Specialist testified that the Claimant did not request assistance with obtaining the verifications, nor an extension of the due date and the requested verifications were not retuned. On October 28, 2013, a Notice of Case Action was sent to the Claimant stating the FAP case would close effective November 1, 2013, becau se the requested verification s were not provided. (Exhibit 1)

The Claimant testified that w hen they got the forms from the Department they did the best they could to fill them out. The Clai mant explained that the change in employment was unexpected. Additionally , there were problems with getting the information the Department requested. The woman who r an the office had br oken her arm and the Claimant turned in everything t hey could get at the time. Additionally, while this was going on the Claimant and her live-in partner sp lit up. The Claimant explained this to the Department during a pre-hearing conference.

The Department properly requested verification to resolve the disc repancy between the reported employment of the Claimant's live-in partner and the additional employer shown in the system at the time of the Redetermination. Income from employment is an eligibility factor for FAP. Accordingly, the ve rifications were ne eded to complete the October 2013 Redetermination. Before the due date for the verification checklist or by the end of the Redetermination in month, the Claimant had not provided the required verifications, explained the difficulties she had in providing the requested information or requested the Department's assi stance with obtaining the ve rifications. The BAM 210 policy is clear that B enefits stop at the end of the benefit period unless a redetermination is completed and a new benefit period is cert ified. Accordingly, the

closure of the Claimant's FAP case must be upheld. If she has not already done so, the Claimant may wish to re-apply for FAP.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed the Claim ant's FAP case based on a failure to comply with verification requirements.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

Colleen Lack
Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 7, 2014

Date Mailed: February 7, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the
 outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CL/hj

