# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014-20186

Issue No.: 3008

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 13, 2014
County: Macomb (50-36)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Jonathan W. Owens

#### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, an in-person hearing was held on February 13, 2014, from Warren, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits?

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On December 7, 2013, the Department issued a notice of case action indicating Claimant's FAP benefits were being reduced to \$22 a month.
- 2. On December 19, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request to protest the change in FAP benefits.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual

(BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

In the instant case, Claimant filed a hearing request after receiving notice her FAP benefits would be decreased as of January 2014. At hearing, the Department testified the budget modification was not done locally. The system updated Claimant's Social Security Income amount and also removed the prior medical expenses included in the budget. Claimant did not dispute that her Social Security Income had increased from \$1,104 to \$1,121. Claimant, however, was confused as to why her medical expenses had been removed. Claimant indicated the budget completed for FAP benefits was just completed in November 2013. Claimant had provided records to support her ongoing medical expenses according to her testimony. The Department did present a copy of the budget for the months of November and December 2013 which did include medical expenses in the amount of \$159.

After reviewing the evidence and testimony, this Administrative Law Judge finds the Department incorrectly removed medical expenses from Claimant's FAP budget. The Department did not present a basis for the removal of these expenses at hearing. Claimant's testimony regarding her submission of her ongoing medical expenses was found credible.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
- 1. Initiate a recalculation of Claimant's FAP benefits beginning January 2014;
- 2. Supplement Claimant for any loss of FAP benefits;

3. Issue a written determination.

/ Jonathan W. Owens
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 18, 2014

Date Mailed: February 18, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client:
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JWO/pf

