#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2014-20080

 Issue No.:
 1008

 Case No.:
 January 29, 2014

 Hearing Date:
 January 29, 2014

 County:
 Lake

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris

# **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 29, 2004, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included **Contemporation**. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Family Independence Specialist.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly  $\boxtimes$  close Claimant's case for:

Family Independence Program (FIP)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
 Child Development and Care (CDC)?
 Direct Support Services (DSS)?
 State SSI Payments (SSP)?

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant  $\boxtimes$  received:  $\boxtimes$  FIP benefits.
- 2. On January 1, 2014, the Department ⊠ closed Claimant's case due to noncompliance with employment related activities.
- 3. On November 20, 2013, the Department sent Claimant its decision.
- 4. On January 2, 2014, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's actions.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151-.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Direct Support Services (DSS) is established by the Social Welfare Act, MCL 400.1-.119b. The program is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code R 400.3603.

The State SSI Payments (SSP) program is established by 20 CFR 416.2001-.2099 and the Social Security Act, 42 USC 1382e. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10.

The Claimant had originally requested a hearing regarding her FAP case as well. During the hearing, the Claimant testified that her FAP benefits had been restored and she was no longer protesting that action. The Claimant's hearing request for her FAP case is hereby dismissed.

The uncontested facts of this case are as follows: the Claimant had been deferred from employment related activities by the MRT. On October 24, 2013, the MRT determined that the Claimant could participate with employment related activities. The Claimant was then referred to PATH on November 1, 2013 with an appointment for November 12, 2013. The Claimant did not attend the PATH appointment. On November 20, 2013, the Department sent the Claimant a DHS–2444, Notice of Non-compliance scheduling a triage meeting for November 26, 2013, to afford the Claimant an opportunity to establish good cause for her non-compliance. On November 20, 2013, the Department also sent the Claimant a DHS–1605, Notice of Case Action informing the Claimant that her case will close January 1, 2014. On December 5, 2013, the Claimant submitted additional medical documentation which the Department determined was sufficient to restore her FAP benefits. The Administrative Law Judge did ask why the documentation was not sufficient to restore the Claimant FIP benefits. The Department worker testified that the Department policy requires that the verification be received by triage date.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2012), pp. 8, 9, provide that the DHS-2444, Notice of Non-compliance state the date/dates of the Claimant's non-compliance and the reason why the Claimant was determined to be non-compliant. In this case, the DHS-2444, Notice of non-compliance, sent November 20, 2013, gives the Claimant notice that she was non-compliant because of "no initial contact with MWA." That notice scheduled a triage meeting for November 26, 2013. The Claimant did not attend. Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 233A (2013) p. 6, provides that the penalty for noncompliance without good cause is FIP case closure. BEM 233A p. 13, provides that, if the client establishes good cause within the negative action period, reinstate benefits. The worker is to then send the client back to PATH, if applicable, after resolving transportation, CDC, or other factors which may have contributed to the good cause. The worker is to make any changes/corrections in Bridges to reflect the outcome of the noncompliance. In this case, the Claimant did establish good cause within the negative action period sufficient to reinstate her FAP case. There was no explanation given during the hearing as to why it is the good cause which was determined to be sufficient to reinstate the claimant's FAP case was insufficient to reinstate the claimant's FIP case. The Administrative Law Judge therefore concludes that when the Department took action to close the Claimant's FIP case, the Department was not acting in accordance with its policy.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department  $\Box$  did not act in accordance with Department policy when it took action to close the Claimant's case.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department's decision is  $\bigotimes$  REVERSED.

- THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
  - 1. Initiate action to reinstate the Claimant's FIP case, and
  - 2. Initiate action to issue the Claimant any supplements she may thereafter be due.

Susanne E Hanis

Susanne E. Harris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 2/6/14

Date Mailed: 2/7/14

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;

• Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the Claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

