## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No(s) .: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201418658 3002 January 16, 2014 Wayne (35)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Robert J. Chavez				
HEARING DECIS	ION			
Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 16, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
ISSUE				
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close 0 benefits for:				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		ogram (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? nt and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:				
Claimant ⊠ applied for ⊠ received:     □FIP ☑FAP □MA □ AMP □SD. benefits.	A □CDC			

2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by

2013.

	2013, the Department denied Claimant's application. closed Claimant's case. reduced Claimant's benefits.
	On 2013, the Department sent Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of its action.
	On Company (2013, Claimant/Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative AHR) filed a hearing request, protesting the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Admin	tment policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges histrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual o, and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Respo USC Agend	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal onsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 601 to 679c. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, 3101 to .3131.
is esta is imp Depar	e Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] ablished by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and elemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The tment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP ant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.
Securi 1008.5	e Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family endence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 05.
	ne Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315 and is istered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10.
Act, M Family	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) program is established by the Social Welfare ICL 400.1119b. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 lich Admin Code, R 400.31513180.
and X	e Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE X of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q;

and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Claimant was approved for initial FAP benefits that were active until 2013. In order to fully process the application, claimant was requested to submit verifications of income, assets, and identification. When these verifications were not received by 2014, the Department proceeded to issue a negative notice of case action that would close the FAP benefits effective 2013, claimant returned verifications of income, including statements from UIA, and assets. The Department alleged that claimant had failed to return verifications of identity, and also failed to attend an interview. As such, the Department argued that the claimant's case closed properly. With regard to the interview requirement, the Department failed to provide evidence that claimant was scheduled for an interview. As such, the undersigned cannot hold that claimant failed to attend an interview, and the FAP case could not have closed for failing to attend an interview for which there is no evidence of scheduling. With regard to the identification requirement, BEM 221 states that verification of identity can include a "document indicating an individual's receipt of benefits under a program that requires verification of identity" and a wage stub. Claimant submitted a wage stub and a confirmation of receipt of benefits from UIA on 2013. Both of these documents, per policy, are adequate to verify identity, and thus, the undersigned holds that claimant properly verified identity on 2013. Therefore, as claimant properly returned all verifications, and as there is no evidence that the Department properly scheduled an interview or that claimant failed to attend said interview, the undersigned holds that claimant's FAP benefit application was complete as of 2013. Claimant's initial application was filed on 2013. Per BAM 115. if an application is completed after denial, but between the 31 and 60<sup>th</sup> day after application, the application is to be reregistered using the date the client completed the process. BAM 115, pg. 25. Claimant completed the application on the 32<sup>nd</sup> day. Therefore, the application should have been reregistered as completed as of that day. As claimant's initial benefits were scheduled to close as of 2013, the application was therefore completed in a timely manner, and should have remained open past 2013.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any finds that the Department

<ul> <li>□ acted in accordance with Department policy when it</li> <li>□ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it closed claimant's FAP benefits on 2013.</li> <li>□ failed to satisfy its burden of showing that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it</li> </ul>
DECISION AND ORDER
Accordingly, the Department's decision is
<ul><li>☐ AFFIRMED.</li><li>☑ REVERSED.</li><li>☐ AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to and REVERSED IN PART with respect to .</li></ul>
☐ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:
1. Reopen claimant's FAP benefits retroactive to the negative action date.

If an interview is still required, the Department may schedule one at its own

Robert J. Chavez
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/24/2014

discretion.

2.

Date Mailed: 1/24/2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

## 2014-18658/RJC

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## RJC/hw

