## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg No.: 2014-17776

Issue No.: 3001

Case No.:

Hearing Date: January 15, 2014 County: Oakland (02)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

### **HEARING DECISION**

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, January 15, 2014. Claimant appeared, along with participating on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) was

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department properly terminated Claimant's food assistance program (FAP) benefits as of December 1, 2013.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was a FAP recipient.
- 2. The Department sent a Redetermination Packet to Claimant with a due date of November 4, 2013.
- 3. The Redetermination Packet was not returned by the due date.
- 4. On December 1, 2013, Claimant's FAP benefits were terminated.

5. On November 25, 2013, the Department received Claimant's second written request for hearing regarding his FIP benefits.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to include the completion of the necessary forms. BAM 105 (October 2013), p. 6. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130 (July 2013), p. 1. Clients are allowed 10 calendar days (or other time limit specified in policy) to provide the requested verification(s). BAM 130, p. 5. For FAP purposes, benefits stop at the end of the benefit period **unless** a redetermination is completed **and** a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210 (October 2013), p. 2.

In this case, the Department sent a Redetermination Packet to Claimant regarding her continued eligibility for FAP benefits. The Packet was due by November 4<sup>th</sup>, however; the Department would have processed the redetermination any time prior to the ending of the benefit period. Claimant failed to return the requested information. As such, a redetermination was not completed and a new benefit period was not certified, resulting in the termination of FAP benefits as of December 1, 2013. Ultimately, the Department established it acted in accordance with Department policy when it terminated Claimant's FAP benefits. Accordingly, the Department's actions are upheld.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds the Department established it acted in accordance with department policy when it closed Claimant's FAP case based on Claimant's failure to submit the redetermination packet as required.

Accordingly, the Department's actions are AFFIRMED.

Cullun M. Mamulka
Colleen M. Mamelka

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 4, 2014

Date Mailed: February 4, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

# CMM/tm cc: