### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2014-16973

 Issue No.:
 1008

 Case No.:
 January 13, 2014

 Hearing Date:
 January 13, 2014

 County:
 Wayne (19)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christian Gardocki

## HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 13, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants included the above-named Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (DHS) included **Exercise**, Specialist.

#### **ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly terminated Claimant's eligibility for Family Independence Program (FIP) to noncompliance with Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) participation.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant was an ongoing FIP benefit recipient.
- 2. Claimant was not an ongoing PATH recipient.
- 3. Claimant reported to DHS a disability allegedly preventing Claimant from participating with PATH.

- 4. On an unspecified date, DHS determined that Claimant was capable of PATH participation.
- 5. On an unspecified date, DHS mailed Claimant a notice to begin attending PATH on //13.
- 6. On /13, Claimant failed to attend PATH.
- 7. On **11**/13, Claimant reported to DHS that she could not report to PATH as scheduled because she broke her sternum.
- 8. On **Marcon**/13, DHS imposed an employment-related disqualification against Claimant and mailed Claimant a Notice of Case Action initiating termination of FIP benefit eligibility and reducing FAP eligibility, effective 1/2014, due to noncompliance with PATH participation.
- 9. On 13, Claimant failed to attend a scheduled triage.
- 10. On 13, Claimant requested a hearing disputing the FIP benefit termination.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* DHS administers the FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3101-3131. DHS policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Claimant requested a hearing, in part, to dispute a FIP benefit termination. It was not disputed that DHS terminated Claimant's FIP eligibility due to alleged noncompliance by Claimant with PATH participation.

Federal and state laws require each work eligible individual (WEI) in the FIP group to participate in Partnership. Accountability. Training. Hope. (PATH) or other employment-related activity unless temporarily deferred or engaged in activities that meet participation requirements. BEM 230A (1/2013), p. 1. These clients must participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities to increase their employability and obtain employment. *Id.* PATH is administered by the Workforce Development Agency, State of Michigan through the Michigan one-stop service centers. *Id.* PATH serves employers and job seekers for employers to have skilled workers and job seekers to obtain jobs that provide economic self-sufficiency. *Id.* 

As a condition of eligibility, all WEIs and non-WEIs must work or engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Noncompliance of applicants, recipients, or member adds means doing any of the following without good cause:

- Appear and participate with the work participation program or other employment service provider.
- Complete a Family Automated Screening Tool (FAST), as assigned as the first step in the Family Self-Sufficiency Plan (FSSP) process.
- Develop a FSSP.
- Comply with activities assigned on the FSSP.
- Provide legitimate documentation of work participation.
- Appear for a scheduled appointment or meeting related to assigned activities.
- Participate in employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities.
- Participate in required activity.
- Accept a job referral.
- Complete a job application.
- Appear for a job interview (see the exception below).
- Stating orally or in writing a definite intent not to comply with program requirements.
- Threatening, physically abusing or otherwise behaving disruptively toward anyone conducting or participating in an employment and/ or self-sufficiency-related activity.
- Refusing employment support services if the refusal prevents participation in an employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activity.
   BEM 233A (1/2013), p. 1-2

A Work Eligible Individual (WEI) and non-WEIs (except ineligible grantees, clients deferred for lack of child care, and disqualified aliens), who fail, without good cause, to participate in employment or self-sufficiency-related activities, must be penalized. *Id.* Depending on the case situation, penalties include the following: delay in eligibility at application, ineligibility (denial or termination of FIP with no minimum penalty period), case closure for a minimum period depending on the number of previous non-compliance penalties. *Id.* 

It was not disputed that Claimant had an obligation to attend PATH following a denial of her claim for deferral based on physical and/or cognitive impairments. It was not disputed that Claimant failed to attend a scheduled PATH orientation. Based on the presented evidence, it is found that DHS established a basis for finding Claimant's noncompliance with PATH participation.

WEIs will not be terminated from a WPP program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. *Id.*, p. 7. In processing a FIP closure, DHS is required to send the client a notice of non-compliance (DHS-2444) which must include: the date of the non-compliance, the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant and the penalty duration. *Id.*, p. 8. In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. *Id.* If good cause is asserted, a decision concerning good cause is made during the triage and prior to the negative action effective date. *Id.* 

Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or selfsufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. *Id.*, p. 3. Good cause includes any of the following: employment for 40 hours/week, physically or mentally unfit, illness or injury, reasonable accommodation, no child care, no transportation, illegal activities, discrimination, unplanned event or factor, long commute or eligibility for an extended FIP period. *Id*, p. 4. A claim of good cause must be verified. *Id*, p. 3.

It was not disputed that Claimant failed to attend a triage. A client failure to attend a triage is evidence that Claimant failed to claim good cause to DHS. Claimant testified that she reported a claim of good cause prior to the triage. Claimant testified that she called her specialist close in date to 13 to report that she had a broken sternum and was unable to attend PATH in the near future. Claimant's specialist could not recall the conversation with Claimant but View Correspondence History (Exhibit 7) verified that DHS mailed Claimant a Medical Needs-JET document on 13. DHS would likely mail Claimant a Medical Needs-JET form only if Claimant asserted a medical problem. The DHS mailing of a Medical Needs JET form on 13. DHS would Claimant's testimony.

Claimant testified that at the time she was scheduled to attend PATH and a triage, she suffered a sternum fracture, which prevented her from leaving the house. After the hearing, Claimant presented hospital documents (Exhibits 1-6) dated 11. The documents verified that Claimant was diagnosed with a fractured sternum. Hospital discharge instructions noted sternal fractures usually take 6-12 weeks to heal. Hospital instructions also noted that bed rest is recommended for 2-3 days and activities should gradually increase.

Claimant's testimony was fairly supportive of finding good cause. Claimant verified that DHS referred Claimant to PATH four weeks after Claimant fractured her sternum. A person suffering a sternum fracture is likely to still have a fair amount of pain if a hospital notes that the healing timeframe is 6-12 weeks. Based on the presented evidence, it is found that Claimant established good cause for a failure to attend PATH. It is further found that Claimant's good cause claim is not precluded by Claimant's failure to attend a triage because of Claimant's injury. Accordingly, the FIP benefit termination is found to be improper.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly reduced Claimant's FAP eligibility. It is ordered that DHS perform the following actions:

- (1) redetermine Claimant's FAP eligibility, effective 1/2014, subject to the finding that Claimant had good cause for not attending a PATH appointment in 11/2013;
- (2) supplement Claimant for any FAP benefits improperly not issued; and

(3) remove any relevant FAP and/or FIP disqualification from Claimant's disqualification history.

The actions taken by DHS are **REVERSED**.

Christin Dardoch

Christian Gardocki Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 1/22/2014

Date Mailed: <u>1/22/2014</u>

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights
  of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

# 2014-16973/CG

