

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

██████████
████████████████████
████████████████████

Reg. No.: 2014-15150
Issue No(s): 6001
Case No.: ██████████
Hearing Date: February 6, 2014
County: Oakland (04)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Zainab Baydoun

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on February 6, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ██████████ ██████████, Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On October 3, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for CDC benefits. (Exhibit 1)
2. On November 12, 2013, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that she was approved for CDC benefits, beginning September 22, 2013. (Exhibit 2)
3. On November 26, 2013, Claimant submitted a hearing request disputing the Department's actions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, 42 USC 601-619, 670-679c, and 1397-1397m-5; the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, PL 101-508, 42 USC 9858 to 9858q; and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193. The program is implemented by 45 CFR 98.1-99.33. The Department administers the program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001-.5020.

Additionally, an Assistance Application (DHS 1171) or a Child Development and Care Application (DHS 45-83) may be used to apply for CDC benefits. BAM 110 (July 2013), p.3. The standard of promptness begins the date the Department receives an application and the Department is to certify program approval or denial of the application within 45 days. BAM 115 (July 2013), p. 15. For CDC benefits, the eligibility effective date is the latest of the following: the CDC application receipt date; the date the child care need begins; the date the provider becomes eligible for subsidy payments; or the date the unlicensed provider completes the basic training requirement. An exception is available for foster care only and provides that CDC benefits may be authorized 21 days prior to the CDC application receipt date. BAM 115, p. 26.

In this case, Claimant submitted an application for CDC benefits on October 3, 2013 that was approved by the Department. The Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action informing her that she was approved for CDC benefits with an effective date of September 22, 2013. (Exhibit 2).

Claimant testified that she has been the foster parent of the child since the child's birth in June 2013. Claimant stated that at that time, she submitted what she thought was a proper CDC application based on the information she was given by her foster care worker. (Exhibit 3). Claimant confirmed that she completed a CDC application (DHS 4583) and submitted it to the Department on October 3, 2013; however, Claimant is requesting retroactive CDC benefits from June 2013 until September 21, 2013.

Although Claimant is not entitled to receive CDC benefits retroactively effective June 2013, because she is a foster parent, the Department should have authorized her CDC benefits 21 days prior to the CDC application receipt date.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department did not act in accordance with Department policy when it processed Claimant's CDC benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is REVERSED.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEPARTMENT POLICY AND CONSISTENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Issue supplements to Claimant and her CDC provider for any benefits that she was entitled to receive but did not from 21 days prior to the application receipt date, ongoing; and
2. Notify Claimant of its decision in writing.



Zainab Baydoun
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 20, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

2014-15150/ZB

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P.O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ZB/tm

cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]