STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2014 13534

Issue No(s).: 2001

Case No.: Hearing Date:

January 30, 2014

County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a t hree way t elephone hearing was held on Januar y 30, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claim ant included the Clai mant's AHR, The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Servic es (Department) included Assistanc e Payments Worker, and

ISSUE

Did the Department properly process the Claimant's application for MA-P dated November 23, 2011 and retro application (August 2011)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substaintial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for Medical Assi stance MA-P and retro MA -P on Nov ember 23, 2011.
- 2. The Department processed the Cla imant's applic ation and iss ued a DHS 49A denying the application on December 27, 2013.
- The Claim ant's AHR requested a hear ing on October 14, 2013 requesting the November 23, 2011 applic ation and retro application be proces sed to determine eligibility.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Service es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act, 42 USC 1396-1396w-5, and is implemented by 42 CFR 400.200 to 1008.59. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10 and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, in this case the Department provided a DHS 49 A certifying the processing and denial of the Claim ant's November 23, 2011 application for MA-P and retro MA-P and therefore there is nothing further to be decided, as the Claimant's AHR sought to have the Department process the application which has been done.

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

acted in accordance with Department policy when it processed the application to determine eligibility.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Claimant's Hearing Request is dismissed as the relief requested has been granted by the Department and there is nothing further to be decided by the undersigned as the application was processed.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was

made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

