STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2014 13267

 Issue No(s).:
 1001

 Case No.:
 January 30, 2014

 Hearing Date:
 January 30, 2014

 County:
 Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CF R 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99. 1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 30, 2014, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

ISSUE

Did the Department properly fail to pay Claimant's O ctober 2013 FIP cas h assistance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant applied for cash assistanc e in September 2013. At the hearing the Claimant sought to have her cash assist ance benefits for October 2013 paid to her.
- The Department's hearing summary stated: "Customer request for re-instate of FIP (cash) benefits has been sent t o the DHS help desk unit to fix error on case because the DHS Bridges System will not let the DHS to fix the error on customer case."
- 3. At the hearing the Departm ent agreed that Claimant was eligible for FIP benefits for October 2013 and had been deferred by MRT from attending PATH. The Department did not provide any dates or other documentation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), D epartment of Human Servic es Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and Department of Human Services Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, PL 104-193, and 42 USC 601 to 679c. The Depart tment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MC L 400.10 and 400.57a and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 to .3131.

Additionally, at the hearing the Department stated under oath that the Claimant was entitled to receive FIP cash assistance benefits for October 2013 at which time she was properly deferred from attending the PAT H program. The Department also confirmed that a help desk tick et had been requested so that Claim ant could receive benefits for October 2013, but did not provide the ticket or ticket number. Based upon the evidence presented the Department admitted that the Claimant is entitled to FIP benefits for October 2013 and has not, as of the hearing date, paid October 2013 benefits for FIP to the Claimant

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, if any, finds that the Department

- ☐ did not act in accordance with Department policy when it did not process payment of the Claimant's October 2013 FIP benefits.
- ∑ failed to s atisfy its burden of s howing that it acted in accor dance with Department policy when it did not process payment of the Claimant's October 2013 FIP benefits.

DECISION AND ORDER

Accordingly, the Department's decision is \square REVERSED.

☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO BEGIN DOING THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WIT H DE PARTMENT P OLICY AND CONSIS TENT WIT H THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER: 1. The Department shall issue a s upplem October 2013 FIP benefits.

upplement for FIP benefits to the Claimant for

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

NOTICE OF APPEAL: The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Deci sion and Order or, if a ti mely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Rec onsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the req uest of a p arty within 30 days of the mailing date of this De cision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final deci sion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to a ddress in the hearing d ecision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

2014-13267/LMF

