#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2014-13210

 Issue No.:
 2026

 Case No.:
 1

 Hearing Date:
 January 30, 2014

 County:
 Oakland (02)

### ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Lynn M. Ferris

# HEARING DECISION

Following Claimant's request for a hearing, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law J udge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 400.37; 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18; 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250; 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33; and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on January 30, 2014. The Classian imant appeared and testified. Assistance Payments Worker, appeared on behalf of the Department.

#### **ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly determined the Claimant's Medical Assist ance ("MA") deductible amount.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

 Pursuant to a review in October 2013, the Department determined that the Claimant was no longer elig ible for Medicaid and impo sed a deductible of \$442. The Claimant and his family had been rec eiving transitional medical assistance (T MA) which expired in Dec ember 2013. The Department issued a Notice of Case Action on Nove mber 11, 2013 imposing a deductible effective January 1, 2014. Exhibit 6

- 2. When calc ulating the medical d eductible the Claima nt used income verified by Claimant's employer of \$1116 for the Claimant and \$600 for Claimant's spouse.
- 3. The Claimant's MA fiscal group c onsisted of 2 persons, Claimant and his spouse, and Claimant also had 2 children. The Claimant had 3 dependents for purposes of his Group 2 Fip related MA calculation.
- 4. The Claimant filed a hearing request on November 10, 2013 protesting the imposition of a \$442 deductibe.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is es tablished by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of F ederal Regulations ('CFR"). The Department of H uman Se rvices, formally known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MCL 400. 105. Depar tment polic ies ar e found in the Bridges administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibi lity Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Program Reference Manual ("PRM").

The goal of the Medicaid program is to ensure that essential health care services are made available to those who otherwise could not afford them. BEM 105, p. 1. Medicaid is also known as Medical Assistance ("MA"). *Id.* The Medicaid program is comprised of several categor ies; one category is for FIP recipients while another is for SSI recipients. *Id.* Programs for individuals not receiving FIP or SSI are based on e ligibility factors in eit her the FIP or SSI program thus are categorized as either FIP related or SSI related. *Id.* To receive MA under an SSI related category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formally blind or disabled. *Id* 

There are various SSI related c ategories under which one can qualify for MA benefits. BEM 150-174. The MA regulations also divide MA recipient s into Group 1 and Group 2 which relate to financia I eligibility factor s. For Group 2, eligibility is possible even when net income exceeds the income limit which is the case in the instant matter. This is because incurred medical expenses are used when determining eligibility for FIP-relat ed and SSI-related Group 2 categories. *Id.* 

To determine whether an individual is eligible for Group 1 or Group 2 FIP related MA, the individual's protected income level (PPI) must be determined. The PPI is a set allowance for non-medical need items such as shelter, food and incidental expenses. R FT 240 lists the Group 2 MA protected income levels based on s helter area and fiscal group size. BEM 544. If the fiscal group has net income that is the same or less that the PPI, RFT 240, than it will qualify for

MA. If the net income is over the PPI, then the fiscal group may become eligible for assistance under the deductible program.

A deductible is a process which allows a cl ient with excess income to be eligible for MA if sufficient allowable medical expenses are incurred. In this case the Claimant and his spouse live in Oakland County and thus the protected income level for a group of 2 is \$541, not \$547 as determined by the Department's budget. Exhibit 6. F or some reason not clarified by the record, the fiscal group was counted as 3 not 2 persons. Thus based upon the budget presented, the Department used the incorrect protected income level. RFT 240 and RFT 295. BEM 211 defines the fiscal group as the cl aimant adult and his s pouse. BEM 211, pp. 6 (1/1/14). The budget must be recalculated wit h regards to using the correct income level.

Each calendar month is a separate deductible period. The fiscal group's monthly excess inc ome is called the deductible am ount. Meeting a ded uctible means reporting and verifying allowable medica I expenses t hat equal or exceed the deductible amount for the calendar month. The MA group must report expenses by the last day of the th ird month following the month it wants medical cover age to continue. BEM 545.

The November 1, 2013 Noti ce of Cas e Action inform ed Claimant that he was entitled to MA coverage with a deductible of \$442. Exhibit 1. To receive MA under a Group 2 Fip related MA category, the person must be aged (65 or older), blind, disabled, entitled to Medicare or formerly blind or disabled. BEM 105 or be eligible as a Group 2 caretaker relative und er BEM 135. Individuals are eligible for Group 2 MA cov erage when net inco me (countable inc ome minus allowable income deductions) does not exceed th e applicable Group 2 MA protected income levels (based on shelter area and fiscal group size). BEM 105; BEM 166; BEM 544; RFT 240. Whil e individuals eligible for Group 2 MA have inc ome equal to, or less than, the protected inc ome level, an individual whose inc ome is in excess of the monthly protected income level may beco me elig ible for assistance under the deductible program, with the deductible being equal to the amount that the group's mont hly income exceeds the pr otected income levels. BEM 545.

Even though it is determined that the Department used the incorrect protected income level as it used the income level for a group of 3 rather than 2 persons, the rest of the budget will be reviewed to determine if the remainder of the budget is correct.

At the hearing, the Departm ent provided t he MA group 2 budget. During the hearing the budget was not expl ained as the actual calc ulations were done by the Bridges system. The formula for calc ulating the deductible is a multi-step formula and was not reviewed.

The count able income for Medical Assi stance used by the Department was provided and was determined to be \$1716 based upon employer's verification and confirmed by the employment budget summary. The Claimant's income used for determining the deductible was \$1116 and his spouse's income was \$600. Exhibits, 4,5, and 6. It is not ed that the income used by the Department was \$1116 for the Claimant. U sing t he income of \$1116 and following the formula provided in BEM 53 6, \$90 is deducted from the income and then it is divided by the sum of 2.9 plus the num ber of dependents which in this case are 3. \$1116 - \$90 = \$1026 divided by the number of dependents plus 2.9 or by 5.9) = \$173.) The \$173 is the Adult's pro rated income and is correct as calculated by the Department. Exhibit 6 (MA Gp 2 FIP related MA Adult).

The same step is also applied for the Claim ant's wife's income of \$600 monthly .  $($600 - $90 = $510 \div 5.9 = $86$ . This is the spouse's share of her income.

The next step requires the <u>net income</u> be determined as follows The adult's protated share of \$173 plus 2.9 X \$173 = \$501

The spouse share is determined by taking the spouse share of her income 86 X 3.9 = 335.

The Total of these two shares is \$864.

The formula also requires a final addition of one share of the adult's prorated share be added to this amount for a <u>total net income</u> of \$1009.

The protected income level whic h is \$541 (Oakland County) is then deducted from the \$1009 to get the deductible amount of \$468.

Based upon the budget presented at the hearing it is determined that the deductible of \$442 as calculated by the Department is incorrect only as to the wrong protected income level was applied.

The purpose of the hearing is to determine whether based upon the evidence presented the Department correctly calculated the deductible.

The entire formula as set forth in BEM 536 was followed.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge based u pon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Department did not demonstrate that it correctly calculated the medical assist ance ded uctible and therefore its action is REVERSED

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH DEP ARTMENT P OLICY AND CONSIS TENT WITH THIS HEARING DECISION, WITHIN 10 DAYS OF T HE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. The Department shall recalculat e the Claimant's deductible in accordance with this decision as regards the prot ected income level for the fiscal group in Wayne County, and shall provi de notice to the Claimant of its determination.

Administrative for Department

Lynn M. Ferris Law Judge Maura Corrigan, Director of Human Services

Date Signed: February 21, 2014

Date Mailed: February 21, 2014

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Co urt within 30 d ays of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a tim ely Re quest for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Ad ministrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cann ot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existe d at the time of the origin all hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of m anual poli cy or la w in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other o bvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claim ant must specify all reasons for the req uest. MAHS will not review any response to a reque st for rehearing/reconsideration. A reque st must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P.O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

